DETECTING HYDROLOGIC VARIATIONS IN A LONG TERM MONITORING TIME SERIES

• B

7.31 7.32

Jean-Olivier Irisson, Alice Webb, Ornella Passafiume, Laure Mousseau UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 7093, LOV, Observatoire océanologique, F-06234, Villefranche/mer, France CNRS, UMR 7093, LOV, Observatoire océanologique, F-06234, Villefranche/mer, France irisson@normalesup.org

INTRODUCTION

Long term time series are the most promising source of information to detect climate-induced changes and understand the modification of ecosystem processes.

Processes at the interface between hydrology and biology in the pelagic ecosystem are of particular interest in the time series collected at point B, in Villefranche's bay. Temperature and salinity are monitored weekly since 43.68-1957. More variables are recorded since 1995, using a CTD and water samples. Zooplankton is sampled daily



Focus is put here on the richest data record, collected since 1995, at six depths, from surface to bottom. Of course, the record is not complete: missing values are present either sporadically or over long periods (years 2007 and 2008 for nutrients – Fig. 2).

We take advantage of the correlations between variables (chlorophyll and fluorescence, NO₃ and NO₂, temperature at 10 and 20 m depth, etc.) to reconstruct missing values in one record from existing values in others. Correlations between variables are captured through a Principal Component Analysis (Fig. 1).

The first 11 components of the PCA (80% of variance) are used to recompute the missing values. The PCA is then repeated on this new, complete, record until the





since 1966 using nets.

Sampling this bay allows to capture both continental influences due to the proximity of the shore and pelagic processes because of the absence of continental shelf in this region of the Mediterranean. Furthermore, the Mediterranean itself is particularly sensitive to climate change.

predicted values converge. This technique allows to recreate credible seasonal cycles over two years of missing nutrients data, using depths 0 and 50 m where the data record is almost complete as well as correlations with salinity or chlorophyll.

Data is then regularised with a 7 days time step and the few completely missing weeks are linearly interpolated. This results in 883 data points per series.

PC1 (24.2%)

Figure 1: PCA factor space showing the correlations between hydrologic variables at six depths used to fill missing values.

2	0	10	20	30	50	75
25 20 15			MMMMMMMMM	Maria Mar	manhadradant and	- halad hand and and and and and and and and and
38.5 38.0 37.5 37.0 6 4 2 0 0.6 0.4 0.2 0.0	Mary mary all all a second and a	Mary way of a part of a part of a growth and a growth of a growthe	where we we are allower with a we will be a	make man man and and and and and and and and and a	wyranne warder twee and all and a source and and and and a source and a source and a source and a source and a	warmen and and and a second a seco
	- man mine Milland Mill Mill Mark Mark	ren minder and and had have been have have	non mit mit make have the man her and	man and more had here will have the	Marine Marker Marker Marker Marker Marker Marker	Mannerslewidder Mall Mall Marthan Martin Martin Martin Martin So
	- unelanment hand hand hand	and have the hard and the the	un han Mahan mander Mar	Muh M.	Marken Marken Marken Marken Marken Marken	Anna han han han han han han han han han
> 0.0		warmed and the Anoral and the share and the second	un com dine summer her Marine and a sum of the marine and the sum of the sum	were and were the Alexandra when a second were the were the second	www.l.memeredull.com.one.u.s.alushala.M.M.	Marrow Marthalles & Marrow And Ma
5.0 2.5 0.0 2.0	- mounder maradente maradente and when the the me	many all man way and the man and the man and the man	mour man man have been a made lide my man man and	man hander and a shall and an and the share and the second and the	man when we have the the man when the hard the h	mar Munture and have been and the state of the second and the seco
	Marthant wardhaugh war under marthant wardhauth and	Mr. M. M. March and M. M. March and March and March and and March	Mar Mar Mar Marghander Marken Mar	My mar and a share the mar and and and and and and and and a start and	man of the man and a start of the start and an and the start of the st	How was a free and a sound of the stand and the stand the stand the stand the standard the standard in the sta
0.2	- Apply to go which down all the and the way a survey of the set o	Mynus munder all marker and	Myrig much who Muna perhade have more March of	My Man Man Man Man make galan with dry a mohal Man Man Man Man	Mily of the man and many and the source of t	Winny a phone the war and a second when the second of the the second of the the second of the the second of the se
	1995 2000 2005 2010	1995 2000 2005 2010	1995 2000 2005 2010 da	1995 2000 2005 2010 ate	1995 2000 2005 2010	1995 2000 2005 2010

Figure 2: Complete data record in raw form (black) and after missing data imputation and regularisation (red). When data is present, the time step is already very regular, hence the almost perfect match even after regularisation.

DECOMPOSITION OF VARIANCE

The filled and regularised data series is influenced by a collection of processes acting on different scales. To tear them apart, we first use Eigen Vector Filtering (EVF). It decomposes each series into components representing orthogonal portions of the variance and sorted in decreasing order of importance (through a modified PCA procedure). The first EVF component captures seasonality in most series (Fig. 3), combined with larger-scale trends for salinity. Such a strong seasonality is expected in temperate systems and this result is therefore reassuring.



Because seasonality is the first source of variance in most series, it needs to be removed to see other processes. We locally fit two polynomials over short (3 months) and long (5 years) scales to extract the seasonal component and the larger scale trend (Fig. 4), through a process called STL (Seasonal-Trend decomposition based on Loess). Residuals sometime still have a seasonal component (Fig. 4) but removing it require to reduce the short scale to less than three months (i.e., a season) which introduces too much noise in the seasonal signal.



Figure 4: Seasonal-trend decomposition of temperature at 20 m.





Figure 5: Trend component of the STL decomposition of data series at 20 m.

SEASONALITY

Looking at the superposition of seasonal components over a few years (Fig. 6), it seems that events are occurring earlier in the year. This is supported by the trajectory of remarkable peaks and pits through time which reveals the same shift towards earlier dates (Fig. 7). This trend is hardly visible in the raw data because of small scale inter-annual variability. While the steadiness of the shift might be exaggerated by the method, which smoothes out small-scale variability, the shift is quite clear. The chlorophyll series also reveals a regime change, from two blooms, the main one in early spring and a weaker one in May, to just one in early spring (Fig. 7). Figure 3: Data series at 20 m (grey) and first EVF component (black), which captures the process of highest variance (often seasonality here).

TRENDS

The trend for water temperature at 20 m shows an increase of 0.8°C over 17 years (Fig. 5). This fits with a larger scale trend in the whole data record (since 1957, not shown), although the increase accelerates. 0.8°C is already well above the global IPCC estimate of 0.74°C over the next 100 years. This increase is associated with a corresponding decrease in water density.

In the meantime, chlorophyll concentration, a proxy for primary production, decreases overall, while the concentrations of nutrients increases. This could suggest a top-down control of primary production by grazers rather than a bottom-up control by nutrients.

Yet, the chlorophyll trend peaked around 2007. This peak is associated with high salinity in the previous years, particularly 2006 (Fig. 5 and 2). During those years, dry and cold winters drove the increase in salinity. As a result, density also increased,

Figure 6: Seasonal component of the STL decomposition of data series at 20 m.

Data provided by "Service Observation en MIlieu LITtoral-SORade, INSU-CNRS-UPMC, OOV". All analyses made in



Figure 7: Dates of the peaks and pits in the seasonal components at 20 m. Maxima and minima are highlighted when relevant. The two main blooming events are shown for chlorophyll and fluorescence.



winter convection was stronger and provided a more intense pulse of nutrients at the beginning of spring, hence feeding primary production. This pulse can be reliably be seen in 2006 (Fig. 2) but unfortunately not in the following years in which the nutrient series is mostly reconstructed.

CONCLUSIONS
 Iterative PCA can help fill large gaps in time series As expected, series are dominated by the seasonal component Temperature increased strongly in surface waters Primary production decreased, overall Dry years may cause an increase in salinity, mixing and primary production in the following year
 Remarkable events, such as blooms, seem to have shifted towards earlier dates in the year