



Laetitia Drago PhD Student

T Panaïotis, JO Irisson, M Babin, T Biard, F Carlotti, L Coppola, L Guidi, H Hauss, L Karp-Boss, F Lombard, A McDonnell, M Picheral, A Rogge, A Waite, R Kiko, L Stemmann

> Institut de la Mer de Villefranche sur mer, France COMPLEx (computational plankton ecology) team

#### Ecologic role of plankton



Laetitia Drago







Introduction

Material and methods

Results and discussion

Conclusion and perspectives

#### Estimation of plankton biomass



Mesh size = 200µm

#### Sampling





Mesh size = 333µm

Heterogeneity of methods

• **Sampling** : season, location, depth, tools

Introduction

Conclusion and perspectives

#### Estimation of plankton biomass

Heterogeneity of methods

- **Sampling** : season, location, depth, tools
- Measurements : settling volume, wet weight, etc.
- Mainly nets : bias towards non gelatinous taxa (Lucas et al., 2014)



Mesh size = 200µm

#### Sampling

#### (Moriarty et al., 2013)



Mesh size = 333µm



#### Measurements



Wet weight

## **OBJECTIVES**

- Estimate the geographic distribution of large groups of plankton
- Estimate global plankton biomass



### **OBJECTIVES**

- Estimate the geographic distribution of large groups of plankton
- Estimate global plankton biomass

#### HYPOTHESIS

The distribution of organisms and their biomass depends on environmental factors

### **OBJECTIVES**

- Estimate the geographic distribution of large groups of plankton
- Estimate global plankton biomass

#### HYPOTHESIS

The distribution of organisms and their biomass depends on environmental factors

#### APPROCHE

Individual biomass of plankton by in situ imaging

Habitat models

**Material and methods** 

**Results and discussion** 

#### Data acquisition



Distribution of profiles Underwater Vision Profiler 5 (≈ 2700 vertical profiles)



Trichodesmium

2mm





Pyrosoma



(Picheral et al., 2010)

Plankton size spectrum adapted from Lombard et al., 2019

Introduction	Material and methods		Results and discussion	Conclusion and perspectives	
	Biovolume	Biomass	Models		



Introduction	Material and methods		Results and discussion	Conclusion and perspectives	
	Biovolume	Biomass	Models		



Taxonomic identification

Selection size 1-50mm

Introduction	Material and methods			Results and discussion	Conclusion and perspectives
	Biovolume	Biomass	Models		



area = n pixels

Introduction	Material and methods		Results and discussion	Conclusion and perspectives	
	Biovolume	Biomass	Models		



Introduction	Material and methods		Results and discussion	Conclusion and perspectives	
	Biovolume	Biomass	Models		





Use of median

Introduction	Material and methods		Results and discussion	Conclusion and perspectives	
	Biovolume	Biomass	Models		

Biomass of epipelagic copepods (mgC.m<sup>-3</sup>) in each UVP5 station















Biomass of epipelagic copepods (mgC.m<sup>-3</sup>) in each UVP5 station

Temperature



Introduction	Ma	Results and		
	Biovolume	Biomass	Models	

#### 3. Habitat models in epi and mesopelagic : multivariate approach



#### Salinity







50 -

-0 **lat** 

-100 0 100 Ion Epipelagic climat













#### Environmental conditions coverage



#### Source



Laetitia Drago  $\langle 10 \rangle$ 

#### Environmental conditions coverage



Source

UVP5 stations Global distribution

Good coverage of the environmental space

11

Laetitia Drago

Biomass distribution of organisms: Copepoda Maps of predicted distribution 2mm Mesopelagic Epipelagic  $R^2 = 35\%$ Predicted biomass (mgC.m<sup>-3</sup>) 15 10 5 (29±1%) (12±2%) (18±1%) (40±1%) (19±2%) (13±2%) Predicted biomass Predicted biomass in mgC.m<sup>-3</sup> in mgC.m<sup>-3</sup> 0-11 10 200 300 Surface chlorophylle Temperature(°C) vcno (9±1% Temperature(°C) Nitrate (µmol.kg<sup>-1</sup>) Pycnocline (m) *a* (mg.m<sup>-3</sup>)

#### Biomass distribution of organisms: Copepoda





#### Biomass distribution of organisms: Rhizaria



#### Biomass distribution of organisms: Rhizaria



**Results and discussion** 

Conclusion and perspectives



2mm

# Biomass distribution of organisms: Rhizaria



Predicted biomass (0-500m)



Biomass distribution of organisms: Rhizaria

10 5

Conclusion and perspectives





#### Predicted biomass (0-500m)

		1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> driving variables				
ed	Taxonomic group	Epipelagic	Mesopelagic			
55 1 <sup>-3</sup> )	Acantharea	Nitrate, Salinity	Nitrate, Oxygen			
. ,	Collodaria non colonial	Pycnocline, Oxygen	Phosphate, Pycnocline			
	Foraminifera		Chla, Silicate			
	Phaeodaria	Salinity, Temperature	Silicate, Oxygen			
	Rhizaria_others	Nitrate, Temperature	Salinity, Pycnocline			





Pourcentage de la biomasse totale

#### Estimation of global biomass



Total biomass (0-500m)

#### Plankton processes

- Better understanding of association with water masses
- Copepods: temperature
- Rhizaria: diverse

#### Plankton processes

- Better understanding of ٠ association with water masses
- Copepods: temperature ٠
- Rhizaria: diverse .

## **Global biomass distribution**

- Copepods dominate at high latitude •
- Rhizarians most abundant in ٠ intertropics and upwelling regions





50 40

30

#### Plankton processes

- Better understanding of association with water masses
- Copepods: temperature
- Rhizaria: diverse

## Global biomass distribution

- Copepods dominate at high latitude
- Rhizarians most abundant in intertropics and upwelling regions

#### • Perspectives

- Global or regional scales
- Seasonality
- Digital ocean





Predicted biomass (mgC.m<sup>-3</sup>)



Image: Hydroptics













## Thank you for your attention !

Any questions ?

Contact me at laetitia.drago@imev-mer.com

