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A population of giant tailed virus-like particles associated with heterotrophic flagellates in a lake-type reservoir
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ABSTRACT: Using transmission electron microscopy (TEM), a population of giant virus-like particles (VLPs) with a head diameter of ca. 405 nm and a flexible ca. 1100 nm long tail was detected in a lake-type reservoir. These giant VLPs were abundant in situ at the start of a survey period (3.3 x 10^4 particles ml^-1) and increased by 7-fold within 96 h. This VLP population vanished in dialysis bag incubations of 0.8 µm-filtered reservoir water (free of bacterivorous flagellates) but increased markedly in the enhanced bacterivory treatment, i.e. 5 µm filtered water. In the latter, incubation, heterotrophic nanoflagellate (HNF) abundance increased approximately 15-fold during the study. A multiple regression analysis using microbial abundances and grazing rates as parameters indicated that 78% of the variability in the abundance of giant VLPs was explained by HNF abundance and grazing rates. Our data support the hypothesis that this virus population infects flagellates. Observation of a presumptive lysing flagellate cell suggests a viral burst size of 15. Estimations of decay and net production rates from dialysis bag incubations indicate that lysis due to giant viruses could cause between 10 to 60% of the mortality of the total flagellate community and, thus, viruses are potentially a significant factor shaping the population dynamics of flagellates in freshwater.
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INTRODUCTION

Flagellates are usually the major consumers of auto- and heterotrophic bacterioplankton thus, linking bacteria with the grazing food chain, and they are significant remineralizers of inorganic nutrients (Hahn & Höfle 2001, Montagnes et al. 2008). Consequently, heterotrophic nanoflagellates (HNF) are a key component of pelagic ecosystems (e.g. Azam et al. 1983). In limnetic systems, population densities of flagellates are thought to be controlled by grazers, specifically larger protists such as ciliates, and larger zooplankton such as rotifers, copepods, and cladocerans (Jürgens et al. 1997, Jürgens & Jeppesen 2000, Sommer et al. 2012, Šimek et al. 2014). During the last two and a half decades, a plethora of information has been accumulated on viruses controlling bacterioplankton (e.g. Weinbauer 2004, Winter et al. 2010) and phytoplankton (e.g. Brussaard 2004, Brussaard et al. 2008, Sharoni et al. 2015). However, our knowledge of the viruses of non-photosynthetic protists (such as HNF and ciliates) is still sparse and mainly...
restricted to investigations of a few isolated virus–
host systems (e.g. Nagasaki et al. 1993, Garza & Sut
tle 1995, Massana et al. 2007).

During an in situ survey and an experiment per-
formed in the mesotrophic lake-type Římov Reservoir
(South Bohemia, Czech Republic) to assess the role
of protistan grazing on bacterial community dynamics
and composition and on viral infection of bacterio-
plankton (Šimek et al. 2001), we detected a morpho-
logically distinct giant virus-like particle (VLP) popu-
lations (potentially infecting flagellates) and followed
its development in situ and estimated decay and po-
tential production rates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The in situ study and the experiment were con-
ducted in the meso-eutrophic Římov Reservoir (for
details, see Šimek et al. 2001). The sampling site is
located above the former river valley (water depth
30 m), about 250 m from the reservoir dam. On
28 May 1999, water samples were collected with a 2 l
Friedinger sampler from a depth of 0.5 m and a final
volume of 30 l was pooled in a 50 l plastic container.
The water temperature was ca. 19°C.

The experiment was run during the late clear-water
phase (28 May to 1 June 1999), i.e. when phytoplank-
ton populations are relatively sparse due to increased
predation pressure of large filter-feeding zooplankton
(Sommer et al. 2012). For the experiment water sam-
ples were sequentially size fractionated through 5 and
0.8 µm poresize Poretics filters (diameter 47 mm; OS-
MONIC.) producing 2 fractions: <5 µm (viruses, bac-
teria and HNF only) and <0.8 µm (viruses and bacteria
only). These 2 fractions represent (1) a treatment with
enhanced bacterivory due to removal of predators of bac-
teriovorous flagellates and (2) a bacterivore-free treat-
ment (Šimek et al. 2001). The 0.8 µm filtration
step was conducted in sterilized glass Poretics filter
holders to avoid contamination by small flagellates.
The water fractions were placed in 2 l pre-treated
(distilled water rinsed and boiled) dialysis tubes (dia-
meter 75 mm, molecular mass cutoff 12 000 to 16 000 Da;
Poly Labo). The dialysis bags were incubated in the
reservoir at a depth of 0.5 m, oriented horizontally in
open Plexiglas holders. Dialysis bags were used to al-
low exchange of nutrients and small dissolved organic
matter with ambient reservoir water. Samples for
monitoring in situ conditions in the reservoir water
were also collected during the study period. The ex-
perimental design and protocol are described in detail
in Šimek et al. (2001). Briefly, samples (250 to 300 ml)
were taken from each dialysis bag and reservoir
water at 0, 12, 24, 48, 72, and 96 h, fixed with formal-
dehyde (2% final concentration). Sample aliquots for
enumerations using epifluorescence microscopy were
examined within 4 h of sampling. For enumerations of
giant virus-like particles (VLPs) by transmission elec-
tron microscopy (TEM), aliquots were stored at 4°C
for 7 d until analysis.

Giant VLPs were enumerated using TEM as de-
scribed previously (Weinbauer et al. 1993). Briefly,
viruses were collected quantitatively onto Formvar-
coated, 400 mesh electron microscope grids by cen-
trifugation in a swinging-bucket rotor (Sorval TH-641;
100 000 × g for 2.5 h), stained for 30 s with 1% (wt/vol)
uranyl acetate, and rinsed 3 times with deionized dis-
tilled water. Head-size diameters and tail parameters
of giant VLPs were determined from photomicro-
graphs (magnification 30 000 to 140 000×) analyzed
under a dissecting lens (10×) (Weinbauer et al. 1993).
For counting by using epifluorescence microscopy,
VLPs were stained with SYBR Green I (10 000× in di-
methyl sulfoxid). Bacteria, HNF and ciliates were
stained with 4-6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (fi-
al concentration 0.1 µg ml−1) and counted by epifluo-
rescence microscopy. For details of preservation and
enumeration see (Šimek et al. 2001). Briefly, plastid-
containing flagellates were enumerated in samples
preserved with Lugol’s solution, cleared with sodium
thiosulphate, employing the Utermöhl method and an
inverted microscope (Lund et al. 1958).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the grazer-free treatment (<0.8 µm), bacterial
numbers increased between 12 and 48 h (Fig. 1D).
Bacterial dynamics in the <5 µm treatment showed
different trends. After a slight initial increase, bac-
terial abundance dropped between 24 and 96 h
(Fig. 1E). Compared to the <0.8 and <5 µm treatments,
whole-water samples from the reservoir showed neg-
ligible changes in bacterial abundance (Fig. 1F).
Marked differences in total VLP abundance were
found among the <0.8 µm, <5 µm, and reservoir pop-
ulations (Fig. 1D–F). Total VLP abundance appeared
to vary inversely with bacterial abundance. For in-
stance, by the end of the experiment in the heavily
grazed <5 µm treatment, the largest numbers of total
VLPs (47 × 106 ml−1) were detected, along with the
lowest bacterial abundance. In contrast, samples from
the grazer-free treatment (<0.8 µm), in which bacteria
became most abundant, yielded the lowest estimates
of total VLP abundance (17 × 106 ml−1). As opposed to
Fig. 1. Time-course changes in the abundance of bacteria, total virus-like particles (VLPs), giant VLPs, heterotrophic nano-flagellates (HNFs) and ciliates in size fractionation treatments (<0.8 and <5 µm) of reservoir water incubated in the reservoir in dialysis bags compared to in situ reservoir water: (A) giant VLPs in <0.8 µm treatment; (B) giant VLPs and HNFs in <5 µm treatment; (C) giant VLPs, HNFs and ciliates in unfiltered water; total VLPs and bacteria in (D) <0.8 µm, (E) <0.5 µm treatments and (F) unfiltered water. Bacterial, VLP and HNF and ciliate abundance values are the means of 3 replicates; error bars indicate SD. The values for giant viruses are the means of triplicate subsamples from a single pooled sample representing all 3 replicates; the vertical bars show the SD of triplicate estimates (i.e. 3 TEM grids).
the markedly distinct trends between the grazer-free (<0.8 µm) and grazer-enhanced (<5 µm) treatments, samples from the reservoir differed little from the <5 µm samples. In both reservoir and <5 µm treatment samples, total VLP abundance increased steadily from 24 h to 72 h and then declined slightly; in contrast to the <0.8 µm treatment, in which total VLP abundances were relatively invariant. The total VLP to bacteria ratio ranged from 19 to 93 in the reservoir, which is close to the value of 21 obtained when using flash-freezing of samples in liquid nitrogen from the same environment (Weinbauer et al. 2007).

At the start of the study, in situ HNF and ciliate abundances were 3.8 × 10³ and 12 × 10³ cells ml⁻¹, respectively. HNF abundance increased slightly, whereas ciliate abundance showed a 3.6 fold increase during the 96 h survey period (Fig. 1C). In the 0.8 µm treatment, no HNFs were observed in any samples taken during the experiment. In the 5 µm treatments, HNF abundance increased by about one order of magnitude to values of 34.3 × 10³ ml⁻¹ but decreased at the end of the incubation; no ciliates were detected in this treatment (Fig. 1B). Plastid-containing, potentially mixotrophic flagellates were present at very low abundances in situ (a few Dino
bryon sp. cells ml⁻¹) and in the experiments. Numbers of photosynthetic flagellates (largely Rhodo
monas minuta; P. Znachor et al. unpubl.) were also well below 500 cells ml⁻¹, i.e. at the very low abundance typical for the clear water phase (Šimek et al. 2008). The HNF community was dominated by bacterivorous Spumella-like chrysophytes. One can assume shifts of a HNF community towards the dominance of HNFs best adapted to a bacterial community developing in experimentally manipulated (<5 µm) treatments without top-down control by larger zooplankton (Šimek et al. 2013). A sudden experimentally induced pulse in bacterial food availability was also proposed as a driving force for a rapid shift of an HNF community towards dominance of several Spumella-like phytotypes in the Rímov Reservoir (Šimek et al. 2013). Ciliates were dominated by small algivorous prostomes (Urotricha spp. and Balanion sp.) and omnivorous oligotrichs (Rímostrombidium sp. and Halteria grandinella), i.e. ciliates with an equivalent cell diameter of 15 to 20 µm.

We found morphologically distinct VLPs with a head diameter of 405 ± 31 nm and a tail or remnants of a tail. The tail appeared flexible, had a diameter of ca. 70 nm and a length of ca. 1100 nm (Fig. 2A). The average head diameter of the total viral community was only ca. 65 nm (M. G. Weinbauer unpubl. data) and thus similar to other limnetic oxic environments, where the average capsid diameter is typically within the range of 60 to 70 nm (Weinbauer 2004). The VLPs constituting the distinct population can be classified as giant viruses, since this class of viruses was initially defined as having capsid diameters >300 nm (Bratbak et al. 1992).

The abundance of the giant VLP population was 3.3 × 10⁴ ml⁻¹ in situ and increased 7-fold during the survey period (Fig. 1C). The corresponding net production rate (calculated from the slope of a linear regression analysis of ln transformed data) was 0.70 ± 0.235 d⁻¹. In the <0.8 µm treatment with undetectable HNF concentrations, the abundance of giant VLPs decreased during the experiment and fell below the detection limit after 2 d (Fig. 1A). The decay rate was 0.50 ± 0.216 d⁻¹. In the <5 µm treatment, the abundance of giant viruses increased 15-fold (Fig. 1B) corresponding to a net production rate of 1.08 ± 0.288 d⁻¹. Production rates of giant VLPs (calculated as net production rates plus decay rates) were 1.2 d⁻¹ in the reservoir and 1.6 d⁻¹ in the <5 µm treatment. Absolute values of viral counts by TEM or epifluorescence microscopy might be an underestimation, e.g. due to storage at 4°C (Hennes & Suttle 1995, Wen et al. 2004). However, storage time before ultracentrifugation onto TEM grids was identical for all samples; thus, relative differences between treatments and rates should not be affected by such problems. In addition, large viruses seem to have lower decay rates than the average virus community (Heldal & Bratbak 1991).
Using published data of total VLPs from the reservoir at the sampling time (see also Fig. 1), the proportion of giant VLP as a fraction of total viral abundance in situ was ca. 0.3%. As a comparison, estimates of viruses with a capsid diameter of >100 nm in marine and limnetic systems range from <1 to 10% (Weinbauer 2004). The abundance of giant VLPs was correlated (Spearman rank correlation) with total grazing rates ($\rho = 0.95$; $p < 0.0001$) and HNF abundance ($\rho = 0.80$, $p < 0.001$). Other parameters such as total VLP abundance, bacterial abundance and total and cell-specific bacterial production (production data obtained from Šimek et al. 2001) showed no significant correlation with giant VLPs ($p > 0.05$). A multiple regression analysis of these parameters was significant ($r^2 = 0.78$, $p < 0.001$) and confirmed the Spearman rank correlation analysis. The result of the multiple regression analysis was calculated as:

$$V_A = 0.54 - 0.34 \times FA + 1.91 \times GR$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $V_A$ is abundance of giant VLPs ($10^4$ particles ml$^{-1}$), FA is HNF abundance ($10^3$ cells ml$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$) and GR is the grazing rate ($10^6$ bacteria ml$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$). GR was measured by the fluorescently labeled bacteria approach and data were obtained from Šimek et al. (2001).

The following lines of evidence suggest that the giant VLPs infect HNFs: First, large viruses vanished in the HNF-free <0.8 um treatment, indicating that no giant VLPs were produced when no flagellates were present. Second, giant VLP abundances were higher in the <5 µm treatments than in the reservoir, i.e. they were highest at the most elevated HNF abundances. Third, the capsid size of giant VLPs was more than 4 times larger than the capsid size of viruses detected in bacterial cells by TEM in the same samples (M. G. Weinbauer unpubl. data). Fourth, the abundance of large viruses was only significantly related to HNF abundance and grazing rate (explaining 78% of the variation in a statistical sense) and not to bacterial or ciliate parameters, thus suggesting an HNF origin of the giant VLPs. Finally, a TEM picture indicates a eukaryotic rather than a bacterial cell as host (for details see below).

The size of particles in the plankton is a major factor influencing contact rates between viruses and cells (Murray & Jackson 1992). Thus, the large head and tail size of giant VLPs could increase contact rates. This can be seen as adaptations of giant VLPs to low (relative to bacteria) host abundances. In addition, giant VLPs fall well within the optimum size range of food for HNFs (Šimek et al. 2001), particularly when regarding not only capsid size but also tail length. Thus, on the one hand grazing of giant VLPs could be a (moderate) source of C, N and P for HNFs (and ciliates) (González & Suttle 1993, Bettarel et al. 2005) and, on the other hand, grazing could be a defense against lytic infection by giant VLPs (unless infection occurs in the food vacuole). Alternatively, induction of cells with a latent infection as mechanisms of viral production (Massana et al. 2007) cannot be excluded; however, potential induction agents remain unknown.

The potential virus-induced mortality of HNFs (VMF) due to lysis by giant VLPs was calculated as:

$$VMF = 100 \times \left( \frac{\mu VA_A \times VA_A/BS}{\mu FA \times FA} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $V_A$ and FA are abundances at the start of the in situ survey (Fig. 1), $\mu V_A$ is the growth rate of giant VLPs (Table 1), $\mu FA$ is the growth rate of HNF, estimated at 1.59 d$^{-1}$ in the experiment (Šimek et al. 2001) and BS is the burst size, using the value of 70 estimated by Massana et al. (2007). VMF was calculated as $0.57 \times 10^3$ cells ml$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ (9.4%) in situ and $0.71 \times 10^3$ cells ml$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ (12.5%) in the <5 µm treatment. Viruses typically infect only a small range of eukaryotic hosts (Massana et al. 2007). Thus, the mortality rates have to be considered as a conservative estimate. In addition, our data might be an underestimation, since the loss of infectivity is likely higher than the loss (decay) of particles. Also, the BS we used might be an overestimation, since BS is typically elevated in isolated virus–host systems compared to in situ (Børsheim 1993). A TEM micrograph from the <5 µm treatment of our experiment shows a group of VLPs identical in size to the giant VLPs embedded in an organic matrix (Fig. 2B). Note that the seemingly elongated dimensions of some particles is a photographic artifact, which becomes eviden-

Table 1. Production and decay rates (d$^{-1}$) of total and giant VLPs in incubations of filtered (<0.8 and <5 µm) and in situ reservoir water. Positive values indicate production, negative values indicate decay. Values for net production/decay are mean ± SD. Production rates in the final column are calculated by adding mean decay rates of particles (as estimated in the <0.8 µm treatment) to net production rates. NA: not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Net production of total VLPs (d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Net production/decay of giant VLPs (d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Production of giant VLPs (d$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.8 µm</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.02</td>
<td>−0.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5 µm</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservoir</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
dent by changing the plane of focus during TEM inspection, revealing 2 distinct viral particles. The number of viruses in this presumptive lysing flagellate cell was ca. 15. Using this BS, mortality estimates for the entire flagellate community in situ and in the experiments would be 44 to 59%, corresponding to 2.6 to 3.3 × 10^3 flagellate cells ml^−1 d^−1 lysed by viruses. Our observations and rate estimates suggest a potentially important role for viruses infecting HNFs. Targeted and intensive studies are needed to deepen our understanding of the dynamics of the viruses of HNFs.
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