

Census of Antarctic Marine Life
SCAR-Marine Biodiversity Information Network

BIOGEOGRAPHIC ATLAS OF THE SOUTHERN OCEAN

▶ **CHAPTER 6.1. TINTINNID CILIATES OF THE MARINE PLANKTON.**

Dolan J.R., Pierce R.W., 2014.

In: De Broyer C., Koubbi P., Griffiths H.J., Raymond B., Udekem d'Acoz C. d', et al. (eds.). Biogeographic Atlas of the Southern Ocean. Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research, Cambridge, pp. 254-259.

EDITED BY:

Claude DE BROYER & Philippe KOUBBI (chief editors)

with Huw GRIFFITHS, Ben RAYMOND, Cédric d'UDEKEM
d'ACUZ, Anton VAN DE PUTTE, Bruno DANIS, Bruno DAVID,
Susie GRANT, Julian GUTT, Christoph HELD, Graham HOSIE,
Falk HUETTMANN, Alexandra POST & Yan ROPERT-COUDERT



SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE ON ANTARCTIC RESEARCH

6.1. Tintinnid Ciliates of the Marine Plankton

John R. Dolan¹ & Richard W. Pierce²

¹ Université Pierre et Marie Curie and Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Laboratoire d'Océanographie de Villefranche, Marine Microbial Ecology, Station Zoologique, Villefranche-sur-Mer, France.

² North Attleboro, MA, USA.

1. Tintinnids and their ecological role in the Southern Ocean

Tintinnids are a group of planktonic ciliates inhabiting the surface layer waters of nearly all marine and estuarine systems. The group, constituting the suborder Tintinnonea, is a very species-rich taxon; the standard monographs of Kofoid & Campbell (1929, 1939) catalogue over 700 species. In any given locale, usually many species co-exist. For example, a single sampling in the NW Mediterranean can yield 34 species (Dolan & Stoeck 2011). Large-scale biogeographic patterns are well-known. Tintinnids literally supply textbook examples of the latitudinal species gradient (e.g. Gaston & Spicer 2003). Most genera can be characterised as found in either coastal or open waters and furthermore as temperate, or tropical, or high latitude fauna (e.g. Pierce & Turner 1993). Using Pierce and Turner's terminology, some high latitude genera are "boreal", known only from Arctic and sub-Arctic zones and others are 'austral', found only in Antarctic or sub-Antarctic waters, here these later two zones are considered together as the Southern Ocean. Nonetheless, it




Photo 1 Examples of tintinnid species typical of the Southern Ocean: (a) *Salpingella laackmanni*, (b) *Salpingella decurtata*, (c) *Salpingella faurei*, (d) *Laackmanniella naviculaefera*, (e) *Laackmanniella* forma *prolongata*, (f) *Amphorellopsis quinquelata*, (g) *Amphorides laackmanni*, (h) *Acanthostomella obtusa*, (i) *Codonellopsis pusilla*, (j) *Epiplocycoloides reticulata*, (k) *Codonellopsis gaussi*, (l) *Codonellopsis gaussi*, (m) *Codonellopsis gaussi* forma *globosa*, (n) *Codonellopsis gaussi* forma *cylindricoconica*, (o) *Codonellopsis gaussi* forma *coxiella*, (p) *Cymatocyclus affinis/convallaria*, (q) *Cymatocyclus affinis/convallaria* forma *calcyformis*, (r) *Cymatocyclus affinis/convallaria* forma *subrotundata*, (s) *Cymatocyclus affinis/convallaria* forma *drygalski*, (t) *Cymatocyclus affinis/convallaria* forma *cylindrica*. Species found only the Southern Ocean are *Laackmanniella naviculaefera* (d–e), *Amphorellopsis quinquelata* (f), *Codonellopsis gaussi* (k–o) and *Cymatocyclus affinis/convallaria* (p–t). Note the different morphologies shown by the Southern Ocean endemics.

should be pointed out that some tintinnid species appear to be cosmopolitan as they are found in all oceanographic biomes, *sensu* Longhurst (1998).

Species identifications of tintinnids are based on characteristics of the lorica or shell into which the ciliate cell can withdraw. The general shape of the lorica (or shell) of a tintinnid is a tube or vase shape but a very large range of lorica 'architecture' is shown by different forms, including those typical of the Southern Ocean (Photo 1). In terms of a functional group, tintinnid ciliates are part of the microzooplankton, that is they are among the group of organisms nominally between 20 and 200 microns in maximal dimension feeding primarily on small phytoplankton. As a functional group, microzooplankton are acknowledged to be the major consumers of primary production in the most planktonic systems (Calbet & Landry 2004). This is also true of different areas of the Southern Ocean but only when primary production is not dominated by large diatoms or *Phaeocystis* (e.g. Burkill *et al.* 1995, Caron *et al.* 2000, Froneman 2004, Tsuda & Kawaguchi 1997). Occasionally the feeding activity of tintinnids can dominate the consumption of phytoplankton but they are generally a minority component of the microzooplankton compared to other taxa of the microzooplankton such as oligotrich ciliates or heterotrophic dinoflagellates.

In tintinnids, gape size, in the form of the diameter of the mouth-end of the lorica, the lorica oral diameter (LOD), is related to the size of the food items ingested. The largest prey ingested is about half the LOD in longest dimension and they feed most efficiently, removing prey at maximum rates, on prey about 25% of LOD in size (Dolan 2010). The overwhelming majority of described species have an LOD between 20 and 60 µm indicating a typical prey size range of 5 to 15 µm for tintinnids. Specific growth rate (maximum rates for temperate zone species are in the range of 1–2 divisions per day) also scales but inversely with LOD; smaller mouth forms have higher growth rates than the forms with large mouth size (Montagnes 2013). In the Southern Ocean ecosystem, tintinnids are known to serve as prey for a surprisingly large variety of consumers ranging from copepods (Lonsdale *et al.* 2000, Kruse *et al.* 2009), krill (Hopkins 1987) and mysid shrimp (Mauchline 1980), to larger organisms such as salps, chaetognaths (Buck *et al.* 1992), and larval Antarctic Silverfish (Kellerman 1987) and even some benthic organisms such as octocorals (Orejas *et al.* 2003) and deep-sea isopods (Brökeland *et al.* 2010).

2. Studies of Southern Ocean tintinnids

The first record of tintinnids from the Southern Ocean is that of Cleve (1900) who reported on a variety of planktonic organisms. Interestingly, he also noted that some forms, (not only tintinnids but also diatoms and dinoflagellates of the phytoplankton) found in the Southern Ocean are also typical of northern temperate or Arctic waters while still others appeared to be "characteristic of the Antarctic Region". While his was not the first notation of the existence of apparently 'bipolar' species in the plankton, he was the first to describe a tintinnid, *Acanthostomella norvegica*, as such. Cleve believed his findings led support to Carl Chun's speculations on the existence of deep-sea currents linking Arctic and Antarctic waters. However, the existence of "bipolar" species is uncertain because in protists "cryptic species" are known. Arctic and Antarctic populations of protists, for example certain dinoflagellates, while morphologically similar do appear to be genetically distinct (e.g. Darling *et al.* 2007).

The first focused studies of tintinnids in the Southern Ocean date back to results from the German South Polar expedition of 1901–1903. Based on the samples gathered, Hans Laackmann first briefly described a few new species of tintinnids (Laackmann 1907) and subsequently produced a large monographic work, describing a considerable number of new species and varieties (Laackmann 1910). He was the first to note that the species "characteristic of the Antarctic", *Cymatocyclus* and species now known as *Laackmanniella*, had close correspondence or similarity in general morphologies with the species typical of Arctic waters, *Ptychocyclus*, *Parafavella* and *Leprotintinnus*.

Since Laackmann's time sampling in the Southern Ocean has been highly irregular in time. Figure 1 shows that relatively few locations were sampled in the Southern Ocean throughout the first half of the 20th century. The relatively large number of sites sampled in the 1960's is mostly the work of Enrique Balech on both dinoflagellates and tintinnids describing material gathered by Argentine expeditions. The most recent species records cataloged here for Southern Ocean tintinnids are the study of Fonda Umani *et al.* (2011) which focused on sites from the Straits of Magellan, and Wickham *et al.* (2011) reporting on material gathered from the Amundsen Sea.




Figure 1 Temporal distribution of sampling effort in the Southern Ocean from reports of tintinnid occurrences. The large peak in the number of sites sampled in the 1960's is largely from E. Balech's reports of Argentine expeditions.

3. Data on Southern Ocean tintinnids

There have been no survey nor synoptic studies of tintinnids in the Southern Ocean or Antarctic waters. Species occurrence and distributions can only be assessed by synthesising the many individual reports mostly concerning a single or a few locations. Here then we describe patterns that emerge from a database of tintinnid species occurrence compiled from such reports in the literature. We consider the Southern Ocean to be the global zone between 40°S and 78°S. The original database was previously described and used to establish global patterns at the genus level (Pierce & Turner 1993). For Southern Ocean locations, the original database consisted of species names, latitude, longitude, and year of report from 23 publications containing 1150 Southern Ocean species records. It was updated to include post-1992 publications, the year of actual sampling for each species record, and revised to account for current taxonomy. The updated database consisted of 2047 species records (synonyms included) from 56 publications reporting on species found in 402 locations. The literature reports are given in the references.

It should be noted that we use the term 'species' in our descriptions of distributional patterns but the term could be replaced by the more accurate term 'morpho-species'. The overwhelming majority of tintinnid species were described based solely on the morphological characteristics of the lorica, considered to be species-specific. However, in ciliates, the relationship between morpho-species and the generally-accepted biological definition of 'species' is unclear because morphology, mating types, and genetics are often discordant (Hall & Katz 2011). For tintinnids the limited genetic data available suggests morpho-species of tintinnids, rather than grouping cryptic species (forms morphologically similar but genetically distinct), may more often be different phenotypes of the same genetic species (e.g., Bachy *et al.* 2012; Kim *et al.* 2013).

We collated records for 192 currently recognised species of tintinnids from the locations below 40°S, based on reports published from 1900 to 2011. Mapping the data shows that sites sampled to date are distributed very




Figure 3 Among the Southern Ocean tintinnids, the assemblage of endemic species is distinct from that of the widespread species in terms of typical oral diameters, presumably reflecting exploitation of different sizes of prey items. The larger-mouthed endemic species are found mostly within the area bordered by the Polar Front.




Figure 2 Species 'ubiquity rank' graph. The number of Southern Ocean records for each species ranges from about 150 for the most commonly reported species to only one record for most species. The number of sites from each species has been recorded defines its ubiquity. The species are then ordered by ubiquity, from most common to rare, and plotted in descending order of ubiquity (the number of records for the species). The graph shows the most commonly reported species are both Southern Ocean endemic species and widespread species.

irregularly in the Southern Ocean (Map 1). As noted above, the temporal distribution of sampling effort has also been irregularly distributed over the past 112 years. Not surprisingly, nearly all of the sampling has been performed during the summer months of the Southern Hemisphere. The majority of species records are based on examination of plankton net material often with no data given on the volumes of water nominally sampled nor the mesh-size. Therefore, the data are qualitative only.

4. Geographic distributions of Southern Ocean tintinnid species

The 192 species reported from locations between 40°S and 78°S can be parsed into 2 main groups: "Southern Ocean Species", those known only from 40°S and further south, and a second group — "Widespread Species", those

Table 1 Southern Ocean tintinnid species.

Southern Ocean Endemic Species	# records	Lat min	Lat max
<i>Amphorellopsis quinquealata</i> Laackmann, 1910	13	58	68
<i>Codonellopsis antarctica</i> Balech, 1958	6	48	62
<i>Codonellopsis balechi</i> Hada, 1970	38	49	78
<i>Cymatocylis affinis</i> Laackmann, 1910 s.l. (incl. <i>C. calcyformis</i> , <i>convallaria</i> , <i>drygalskii</i>)	146	50	78
<i>Cymatocylis antarctica</i> Cleve, 1901	90	45	65
<i>Cymatocylis brevicaudata</i> Laackmann, 1910	5	51	62
<i>Cymatocylis crassa</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	2	59	60
<i>Cymatocylis cristallina</i> Laackmann, 1909	3	60	74
<i>Cymatocylis culcullus</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	2	59	66
<i>Cymatocylis cylindroides</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	2	59	60
<i>Cymatocylis cylindrus</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	3	60	62
<i>Cymatocylis ecaudata</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	4	60	75
<i>Cymatocylis flava</i> Laackmann, 1910	16	59	78
<i>Cymatocylis folliculus</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	4	60	75
<i>Cymatocylis glans</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	2	66	75
<i>Cymatocylis kerguelensis</i> Laackmann, 1910	2	49	55
<i>Cymatocylis incondita</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	1	69	69
<i>Cymatocylis nobilis</i> Laackmann, 1910	8	58	75
<i>Cymatocylis ovata</i> Laackmann, 1910	5	60	65
<i>Cymatocylis parva</i> Laackmann, 1910	6	56	66
<i>Cymatocylis scyphus</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	2	59	60
<i>Cymatocylis subconica</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	3	66	75
<i>Cymatocylis subrotundata</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	8	65	75
<i>Cymatocylis typica</i> Laackmann, 1910	5	59	62
<i>Cymatocylis vanhoeffeni</i> Laackmann, 1910	40	47	77
<i>Cyttarocylis conica</i> Brandt, 1906	3	60	62
<i>Daturella frigida</i> Hada, 1970	1	62	62
<i>Eutintinnus subrugosa</i> Balech, 1942	1	58	58
<i>Laackmanniella naviculaefera</i> Laackmann, 1907 + <i>L. prolonga</i> Laackmann, 1907	127	43	78
<i>Helicostomella lemairei</i> Balech, 1942	2	56	58
<i>Steenstrupiella pozzi</i> Balech, 1942	92	40	61
<i>Tintinnopsis bacillaria</i> Hada, 1970	13	41	64

Table 2 Widespread species with multiple Southern Ocean records.

<i>Acanthostomella lata</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Eutintinnus fraknoi</i> Daday, 1887	<i>Salpingella subconica</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929
<i>Acanthostomella norvegica</i> Jorgensen, 1927	<i>Eutintinnus lusus undae</i> Entz Sr., 1884	<i>Steenstrupiella intumescens</i> Jorgensen, 1924
<i>Amphorellopsis acantharus</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Eutintinnus medius</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Steenstrupiella steenstrupii</i> Claparède & Lachmann, 1858
<i>Amphorides amphora</i> Claparède & Lachmann, 1858	<i>Eutintinnus rectus</i> Wailes, 1925	<i>Stenosemella avellana</i> Meunier, 1919
<i>Amphorides brandti</i> Brandt, 1906	<i>Eutintinnus tubulosus</i> Ostenfeld, 1889	<i>Stenosemella nivalis</i> Meunier, 1910
<i>Amphorides laackmanni</i> Jorgensen, 1924	<i>Helicostomella subulata</i> Ehrenberg, 1833	<i>Stenosemella ventricosa</i> Claparède & Lachmann, 1858
<i>Amphorides quadrilineata</i> Claparède & Lachmann, 1858	<i>Luminella (Stenosomella) pacifica</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Stylicauda platensis</i> Cunha & Fonsca, 1917
<i>Ascampbelliella acuta</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Ormosella haeckeli</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Tintinnopsis baltica</i> Brandt, 1896
<i>Ascampbelliella armilla</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Parundella aculeata</i> Jorgensen, 1924	<i>Tintinnopsis beroidea</i> Stein, 1867
<i>Ascampbelliella protuberans</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Parundella caudata</i> Ostenfeld, 1899	<i>Tintinnopsis cylindrica</i> Daday, 1887
<i>Ascampbelliella urceolata</i> Ostenfeld, 1899	<i>Parundella crassicaudata</i> Balech & Souto, 1980	<i>Tintinnopsis gracilis</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929
<i>Bursaopsis ollula</i> Brandt, 1906	<i>Parundella pellucida</i> Jorgensen, 1899	<i>Tintinnopsis karajacensis</i> Brandt, 1896
<i>Codonella amphorella</i> Biedermann, 1893	<i>Poroeus curtus</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Tintinnopsis lata</i> Meunier, 1910
<i>Codonella aspera</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Proplectella claparedei</i> Entz Jr., 1908	<i>Tintinnopsis levigata</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929
<i>Codonella elongata</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Proplectella fastigata</i> Jorgensen 1904	<i>Tintinnopsis lobiancoi</i> Daday, 1887
<i>Codonellopsis morchella</i> Cleve, 1900	<i>Proplectella globosa</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Tintinnopsis major</i> Meunier, 1910
<i>Codonellopsis pusilla</i> Cleve, 1900	<i>Proplectella subacuta</i> Cleve, 1901	<i>Tintinnopsis meunieri</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929
<i>Codonellopsis schabi</i> Brandt, 1906	<i>Proplectella subcaudata</i> Jorgensen, 1924	<i>Tintinnopsis minuta</i> Wailes, 1925
<i>Dadayiella ganymedes</i> Entz Sr., 1884	<i>Protocymatocylis pseudiconica</i> Hada, 1961	<i>Tintinnopsis nucula</i> Fol, 1884
<i>Dictyocysta californiensis</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Protorhabdonella curta</i> Cleve, 1901	<i>Tintinnopsis parva</i> Merkle, 1909
<i>Dictyocysta duplex</i> Brandt, 1906	<i>Protorhabdonella simplex</i> Cleve, 1899	<i>Tintinnopsis parvula</i> Jorgensen, 1912
<i>Dictyocysta elegans</i> Ehrenberg, 1854	<i>Rhabdonella amor</i> Cleve, 1900	<i>Tintinnopsis rapa</i> Meunier, 1910
<i>Dictyocysta fenestrata</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Rhabdonella chilensis</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Tintinnopsis sacculus</i> Brandt, 1896
<i>Dictyocysta lepida</i> Ehrenberg, 1854	<i>Rhabdonella indica</i> Laackmann, 1909	<i>Tintinnopsis turbo</i> Meunier, 1919
<i>Dictyocysta mitra</i> Haeckel, 1873	<i>Rhabdonella spiralis</i> Fol, 1881	<i>Tintinnopsis vasculum</i> Meunier, 1919
<i>Dictyocysta reticulata</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Salpingella acuminata</i> Claparède & Lachmann, 1858	<i>Xystonella acus</i> Brandt, 1906
<i>Dictyocysta speciosa</i> Jorgensen, 1924	<i>Salpingella decurtata</i> Jorgensen, 1924	<i>Xystonella lanceolata</i> Brandt, 1906
<i>Epiplocyclus acuminata</i> Daday, 1887	<i>Salpingella faurei</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Xystonella longicauda</i> Brandt, 1906
<i>Epiplocyclus undella</i> Ostenfeld & Schmidt, 1901	<i>Salpingella glockentögeri</i> Jorgensen, 1924	
<i>Eutintinnus apertus</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Salpingella laackmanni</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	

Table 3 Widespread species with a single Southern Ocean record.

<i>Acanthostomella conicoides</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Epiplocyclus deflexa</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Rhabdonella cornucopia</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929
<i>Acanthostomella minutissima</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Epiplocyclus exigua</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Rhabdonella quantula</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929
<i>Acanthostomella obtusa</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Epiplocyclus healdi</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Rhabdonellopsis apophysata</i> Cleve, 1900
<i>Amphorellopsis acuta</i> Schmidt, 1901	<i>Epiplocyclus inflata</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Rhabdonellopsis intermedia</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929
<i>Amphorellopsis laevis</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Epiplocyclus lata</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Salpingella acuminatoides</i> Laackmann, 1909
<i>Amplectella monocollaria</i> Laackmann, 1909	<i>Epiplocyclus mira</i> Balech, 1958	<i>Salpingella secata</i> Brandt, 1896
<i>Ascampbelliella aperta</i> Marshall, 1934	<i>Eutintinnus attenuatus</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Salpingella undata (acuminata)</i> Claparède & Lachmann, 1858
<i>Climacocylis scalaria</i> Brandt, 1906	<i>Eutintinnus australis</i> Balech, 1944	<i>Steenstrupiella gracilis</i> Jorgensen, 1924
<i>Climacocylis scalaroides</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Eutintinnus elegans</i> Jorgensen, 1924	<i>Tintinnopsis brasiliensis</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929
<i>Codonellopsis biedermanni</i> Brandt, 1906	<i>Eutintinnus pacificus</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Tintinnopsis bütschlii</i> Daday, 1887
<i>Codonellopsis brevicaudata</i> Brandt, 1906	<i>Eutintinnus pinguis</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Tintinnopsis compressa</i> Daday, 1887
<i>Codonellopsis contracta</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Eutintinnus stramentus</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Tintinnopsis glans</i> Meunier, 1919
<i>Codonellopsis indica</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Favella azorica</i> Cleve, 1900	<i>Tintinnopsis radix</i> Imhof, 1886
<i>Codonellopsis ostenfeldi</i> Schmidt, 1901	<i>Favella campanula</i> Schmidt, 1901	<i>Tintinnopsis rotundata</i> Jorgensen, 1889
<i>Codonellopsis parvicollis</i> Marshall, 1934	<i>Favella (Schmidingerella) taraikaensis</i> Hada, 1932	<i>Tintinnopsis tocatinensis</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929
<i>Cymatocylis conica</i> Laackmann, 1909	<i>Leprotintinnus nordqvisti</i> Brandt, 1906	<i>Tintinnopsis tubulosa</i> Levander, 1900
<i>Cymatocylis subconica</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Metacyclis annulifera</i> Ostenfeld & Schmidt, 1901	<i>Tintinnopsis urnula</i> Meunier, 1910
<i>Daturella luanae</i> Marshall, 1934	<i>Metacyclis corbula</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Undella declivis</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929
<i>Dictyocysta obtusa</i> Jorgensen, 1924	<i>Parafavella brandti</i> Hada, 1932	<i>Undella hemispherica</i> Laackmann, 1909
<i>Dictyocysta polygonata</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Parundella messinensis</i> Brandt, 1906	<i>Undella parva</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929
<i>Epiplocyclus acuta</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Petalotricha (Cyttarocylis) ampulla</i> Fol, 1881	<i>Undella turgida</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929
<i>Epiplocyclus ralumensis</i> Brandt, 1906	<i>Petalotricha pacifica</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	<i>Xystonella treforti</i> Daday, 1887
<i>Epiplocyclus blanda</i> Jorgensen, 19024	<i>Proplectella perpusilla</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	
<i>Epiplocyclus constricta</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1909	<i>Rhabdonella brandti</i> Kofoid & Campbell, 1929	


whose extensive geographic ranges extend into the Southern Ocean. Tintinnid species restricted to the Southern Ocean comprise a group of 32 species which have not been reliably reported north of 40°S (Table 1). The figure of 32 accounts for recent findings (Kim *et al.* 2013) of likely synonymy with regard to several species: 4 species of *Cymatocylis* (*C. affinis* = *C. calcyformis*, *C. convallaria*, & *C. drygalskii*), 2 species of *Condonellopsis* (*C. gaussi* & *C. glacialis* = *Laackmanniella*) and 2 species of *Laackmanniella* (*L. prolongata* = *L. naviculaefera*). The spatial distributions of two genera with the largest number of recorded occurrences, *Cymatocylis* and *Laackmanniella* is shown in Maps 2 and 3. While both genera appear to be largely restricted to waters within the Polar Front, *Cymatocylis* has been found much more frequently outside the area delimited by the front compared to *Laackmanniella* which appears then to be more 'Antarctic' than *Cymatocylis*.

The second group of widespread species is comprised of 161 taxa found in not only in the Southern Ocean but elsewhere as well. This very large set of species can be divided into 2 sets: the 81 species which have been recorded multiple times in the Southern Ocean waters (Table 2) and the 70 species which have only been found once below 40°S (Table 3). The latter set of species with but a single Southern Ocean record can be considered as a list of 'strays' as not only are the species rare in the Southern Ocean, as evidenced by the single report, but none have been reported to occur in significant abundance. In contrast, many of the widespread species reported multiple times appear to be very common in tintinnid assemblages in the Southern Ocean. For example, *Codonellopsis pusilla* has been recorded nearly as often


as the Southern Ocean species of *Cymatocylis* and *Laackmanniella*. The ubiquity, or conversely, the rarity of a species in the records from the Southern Ocean appears unrelated to whether or not the species is a Southern Ocean species. Figure 2 shows the number of records for each of 192 species ranked according to 'ubiquity' — their relative frequency of occurrence in the sites sampled. Widespread species, those found outside as well as inside the Southern Ocean, rank within the 10 most commonly reported species in records from the Southern Ocean. Nonetheless, examination of a map showing sites sampled in the Southern Ocean from which only endemic species were reported, thus apparently less inhabited by widespread species, shows a concentration of such sites inside the zone delimited by the average position of the Polar Front (Map 4). Conversely, sites from which only widespread species were recorded are concentrated at the northern edge of the Southern Ocean (Map 5). A very similar apparent dichotomy in distribution between endemic and widespread diatoms in the Southern Ocean has been reported (Armand *et al.* 2005, Crosta *et al.* 2005, Romero *et al.* 2005).

5. Characteristics of Southern Ocean tintinnids


The morphological characteristics of tintinnid assemblages, in terms of the spectrum of mouth sizes found in the species pool, the lorica oral diameters, can differ considerably between systems and is thought to reflect the size-spectrum of food items, mostly phytoplankton, exploited (Dolan *et al.* 2009). The species pool of Southern Ocean tintinnids, excluding species recorded but once (strays and questionable species), numbers 120. This large number of




Map 1
● Records of *Tintinnida* south of 40°S




Map 2
● *Cymatocyliis* spp.



Map 3
● *Laackmanniella* spp.



Map 4
● Records of species endemic to the southern Ocean



Map 5
● Records of widespread species in the Southern Ocean

Tintinnida Maps 1–5 Map 1. Locations of all Southern Ocean sites from which tintinnids have been reported. Map 2. Location of sites from which *Cymatocyliis* spp. have been reported. Map 3. Location of sites from which *Laackmanniella* spp. have been reported. Map 4. Locations from which only endemic tintinnid species have been reported; they are located mostly within the area confined by the average location of the Polar Front. Map 5. Locations from which only widespread tintinnid species were found; they are located largely in the northern portion of the Southern Ocean. Note that these sites do not represent the totality of reports (see map 2). Thus, mixed communities were found at some sites both within and outside the Polar Front.

species includes a very wide range of lorica oral diameters, approximately as wide as the global tintinnid species catalogue. The most common size classes of oral diameters are between 40 and 50 µm suggesting that most species likely exploit prey of 10–15 µm in size with the second peak of large-mouthed forms exploiting prey of about 30 µm in size. Among the tintinnids of the Southern Ocean, the endemic species appear to show a characteristic which distinguishes them from the widespread species found in the Southern Ocean. A considerable portion of the endemic species have very large oral diameters (>100 µm) while most of the widespread species have oral diameters between 40 and 60 µm in size (Photo 1).

The species typical of the Southern Ocean includes some which agglutinate particulate matter into the lorica, for example species of *Laackmaniella* and *Stenosomella*. Agglutination does not appear to be highly selective in these Southern Ocean species (Wasik *et al.* 1996). This is in contrast to other tintinnid species such as certain in the genera of *Codonella* and *Dictyocysta* which symmetrically arrange coccoliths from a just few species of coccolithophoride phytoplankton (e.g. Lohmann 1912). *Laackmaniella* uses frustules from several different diatom species (Photo 1). At least with regard to *Stenosomella*, the type of particle used, coccoliths or diatom remains, seems to simply reflect their relative abundance in the water column (Henjes & Assmy 2008).

The abundances of tintinnids usually parallel those of other microzooplankton such as oligotrich ciliates and heterotrophic dinoflagellates. The highest concentrations of tintinnids, as well as other microzooplankters, have been reported to be associated with the ice edge during the Antarctic summer (Alder & Boltovskoy 1991). In a recent study of the Palmer Antarctica Long Term Ecological Research Area (Garzio & Steinberg 2013), tintinnids in January 2010 and 2011 were found in average abundances of about 30–40 per liter in the upper 100 m of the water column, representing 5–10% of total microzooplankton biomass. Certain species do appear to be associated with particular areas. Polynya sites, characterised by dense phytoplankton populations of *Phaeocystis*, contain mostly the Southern Ocean endemic species of *Cymatocylis* and *Laackmanniella* (Dolan *et al.* 2013).

6. Southern Ocean and Arctic tintinnids

There are some striking similarities comparing Arctic and Southern Ocean tintinnid assemblages. Like the Southern Ocean assemblages, the tintinnid fauna of the Arctic Biome is a mixture of forms apparently restricted to the biome, species of the genera *Parafavella* and *Ptychocylis* as well as many widespread species (e.g. Burkovsky 1976). Some of the widespread species found in Arctic assemblages are also common in the Southern Ocean such as *Helicostomella subulata* and *Codonellopsis pusilla*. Both Arctic and Antarctic assemblages contain the “bipolar” species *Acanthostomella norvegica*.

One of the peculiarities of tintinnid genera largely restricted to the Arctic, the genera *Parafavella* and *Ptychocylis*, is shared by the Antarctic genera *Cymatocylis* and *Laackmanniella*. These genera all contain large numbers of different morphotypes, historically distinguished as species for the most part. These different morphologies can be shown to be a continuum, at least with regard to forms of *Cymatocylis* in which the 50 described species form but 5 statistically distinguishable morphotypes (Williams *et al.* 1994). Recently many of these distinct morphotypes have been shown to be genetically identical (Kim *et al.* 2013). Similarly, many of the 23 species of the Arctic *Parafavella* and 12 species *Ptychocylis* appear to be indistinguishable (Davis 1978, Davis 1980). Thus, the endemic forms of both Antarctica and the Arctic appear to be highly polymorphic with only the lorica oral diameter as a consistent, conservative, character. It may well be that through genetic studies the Arctic forms, species of *Parafavella* and *Ptychocylis* will also prove to be polymorphic. Unfortunately, the mechanisms underlying polymorphism are unknown. In both Arctic and Antarctic assemblages, “coxiella” forms are often abundant (e.g. Burkovsky 1976, Brandini 1993). The coxiella form appears to be an aberrant morphology of a not fully developed lorica, perhaps characteristic of a rapidly-growing population (Laval-Peuto 1977). Speculatively, we suggest that polymorphism in the Antarctic taxa may be associated with the occurrence of population growth in rapid, short-lived bursts with a consequence of cell division rates exceeding normal lorica-formation rates.

7. Conclusions

Tintinnids are at once a typical and unusual group of organisms for the Southern Ocean. As is the case for many taxa, there are genera and species of tintinnids found only in the Southern Ocean as well as bipolar species and many species found in the Southern Ocean are commonly considered cosmopolitan or widespread. The assemblage is unusual in terms of species richness, especially considering that tintinnids represent a very small portion, usually less than 5% of individuals, of the functional group of microzooplankton. The assemblage is also unusual as very large forms, species of *Cymatocylis*, are common and polymorphic species seem to be relatively common as well. Endemic forms, those restricted to the Southern Ocean can be distinguished from widespread species of tintinnids found in the Southern Ocean. In terms of geographic distribution, endemics are often the only forms found inside the Polar Front (Map 4) and many are characterised by unusually large oral diameters. While we can make such general statements concerning distributions and morphology, unfortunately, we lack even the most basic data on the ecology of individual species and the assemblage as a whole. For example, there are no data whatsoever concerning growth rates nor feeding rates of species from the Southern Ocean. Such ignorance

considerably complicates the examination of fundamental questions which well merit examination. How can so many different forms successfully exploit waters of extreme seasonality? Is polymorphism an adaptation to or rather a consequence of a variable environment? We can only hope that future field work in Southern Ocean waters will include special attention to these intriguing organisms which are often neglected (e.g. Griffiths 2010).

Acknowledgements

We thank Philippe Koubbi and Claude De Broyer for their kind invitation to contribute a chapter on tintinnid ciliates. This text is largely based on a review in the *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology*, 59 (2012) “Southern Ocean Biogeography of Tintinnid Ciliates of the Marine Plankton” by J.R. Dolan, R.W. Pierce, E.J. Yang, & S.Y. Kim. Support was provided by the Aquaparadox project financed by the ANR Biodiversité program and the Pole Mer PACA. The maps were generated by Huw J. Griffiths and Anton Van de Putte. This is CAML contribution # 130.

References

*Data source; **reference and data source.

- *Alder, V., Boltovskoy, D., 1991. Microplanktonic distributional patterns west of the Antarctic Peninsula, with special emphasis on the Tintinnids. *Polar Biology*, 11, 103–112.
- Alder V.A, Boltovskoy, D. 1991. The ecology and biogeography of tintinnid ciliates in the Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean. *Marine Chemistry*, 35, 337–346.
- *Alder, V.A., 1990. Tintinnid cytoplasmic volume and biomass. *Antarctic Journal of the United States*, 25, 184–185.
- Armand, L.K., Crosta, X., Romero, O., Pichon, J.-J., 2005. The biogeography of major diatom taxa in Southern Ocean sediments: 1. Sea ice related species. *Paleogeography, Paleoclimates, Paleoecology*, 223, 93–126.
- Bachy, C., Gómez, F., López-García, P., Dolan, J.R., Moreira, D., 2012. Molecular phylogeny of tintinnid ciliates (Tintinnida, Ciliophora). *Protist*, 163, 873–887.
- *Balech, E., 1947. Contribución al conocimiento del plancton antártico. *Physis, Physis, Buenos Aires*, 20, 75–91.
- *Balech, E., 1944. Contribución al conocimiento del plancton de Lennox y Cabo de Hornos. *Physis, Buenos Aires*, 19, 423–446.
- *Balech, E., El-Sayed, S.Z., 1965. Microplankton of the Weddell Sea. In: Llano, G.A. (ed.). *Biology of the Antarctic Seas II*, American Geophysical Union.
- *Balech, E., 1971. Microplankton de la Campaña Oceanográfica Productividad III. *Revista Museo Argentino Ciencias Naturales Bernardino Rivadavia*, Hidrobiología, 3, 1–202.
- *Balech, E., 1958. Plancton de la Campaña Antártica Argentina *Physis, Buenos Aires*, 21, 75–108.
- *Balech, E., 1973. Segunda contribución al conocimiento del plancton del Mar de Bellingshausen. *Contribucion Instituto Antartico Argentino*, 107, 3–63.
- *Balech, E., Souto, S., 1980. Los tintinnidos de la campaña oceanográfica “Productividad IV”. *Physis, secc. A*, 39, 1–8.
- *Balech, E., 1942. Tintinninoideos del estrecho Le Marie. *Physis, Buenos Aires*, 19, 242–254.
- *Balech, E., 1962. Tintinninoidea y Dinoflagellata del Pacífico según material de las expediciones Norpac y Downwind del Instituto Scripps de Oceanografía. *Revista Museo Argentino Ciencias Naturales Bernardino Rivadavia*, 7, 3–249.
- *Barria de Cao, M.S., 1987. Tintinnina (protozoa, ciliata) de la zona Antártica Argentina. In: *Primer Symposium Espanol de Estudios Antarticos*, CSICAEA, Madrid.
- *Biancalana, F. *et al.*, 2007. Micro and mesozooplankton Composition During Winter in Ushuaia and Golondrina Bays (Beagle Channel, Argentina). *Brazilian Journal Oceanography*, 55, 83–95.
- *Boltovskoy D. *et al.*, 1990. Intraspecific variability in Antarctic tintinnids: the *Cymatocylis affinis* / *convallaria* species group. *Journal Plankton Research*, 12, 403–413.
- *Boltovskoy D. *et al.*, 1989. Summer Weddell Sea microplankton: Assemblage structure, distribution and abundance, with special emphasis on the Tintinnina. *Polar Biology*, 9, 447–456.
- Brandini, F.P., 1993. Phytoplankton biomass on an Antarctic coastal environment during stable water conditions - implications for the iron limitation theory. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 93, 267–275.
- Brökeland, W., Guomundsson, G., Svavarsson, J., 2010. Diet of four species of deep-sea isopods (Crustacea : Malacostraca: Peracarida) in the South Atlantic and the Southern Ocean. *Marine Biology*, 157, 177–187.
- Buck, K.R., Garrison, D.L., Hopkins, T.L., 1992. Abundance and distribution of tintinnid ciliates in an ice edge zone during the austral autumn. *Antarctic Science*, 4, 3–98.
- Burkill, P.H., Edwards, E.S., Sleight, M.A., 1995. Microzooplankton and their role in controlling phytoplankton growth in the marginal ice zone of the Bellingshausen Sea. *Deep-Sea Research II*, 42, 1277–1290.
- Burkovsky, I.V., 1976. New data on tintinnids (Ciliata) of the Arctic and revision of fauna. *Zoologicheskii Zhurnal*, 55, 325–336 [in Russian].
- *Burns, D.A., 1983. The distribution and morphology of tintinnids (ciliate protozoans) from coastal waters around New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal Marine Freshwater Research*, 17, 387–406
- Calbet, A., Landry, M.R., 2004. Phytoplankton growth, microzooplankton grazing, and carbon cycling in marine systems. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 49, 51–57.
- *Campbell, A.S., 1942. . The oceanic Tintinninoidea of the plankton gathered during the last cruise of the Carnegie. Scientific Results of Cruise VII of the Carnegie during 1928–1929 under the Command of Captain J.P. Ault, Biology–2. *Carnegie Institution Washington Publication*, 537.
- Caron, D.A., Denett, M.R., Lonsdale, D.J., Moran, D.M., Shalapyonok, L., 2000. Microzooplankton herbivory in the Ross Sea, Antarctica. *Deep-Sea Research. II*, 47, 3249–3272.
- *Chang, F.H., 1990. Quantitative distribution of microzooplankton off Westland, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal Marine Freshwater Research*, 24, 187–195.
- *Chang, F.H., 1983. Winter phytoplankton and microzooplankton populations off the coast of Westland, New Zealand, 1979. *New Zealand Journal Marine Freshwater Research*, 17, 279–304.
- **Cleve, P.T., 1900. Plankton from the southern Atlantic and the southern Indian Ocean. *Öfversigt af Kongelige Vetenskaps-Akademiens Förhandlingar, Stockholm*, 919–938.
- *Christaki U., Obermosterer, I., Van Wambeke, F., Veldhuis, M., Garcia, N., Catala, P. 2008. Microbial food web structure in a naturally iron-fertilised area in the Southern Ocean (Kerguelen Plateau).
- Crosta, X., Romero, O., Armand, L.K., Pichon, J.-J., 2005. The biogeography of major diatom taxa in Southern Ocean sediments: 2. Open ocean related species. *Paleogeography, Paleoclimates, Paleoecology*, 223, 66–92.
- Darling, K.F., Kucera, M., Wade, C.M., 2007. Global molecular phylogeography reveals persistent Arctic circumpolar isolation in a marine planktonic protist. *Proceedings National Academy Sciences USA*, 104, 5002–5007.
- Davis, C.C., 1978. Variations of the lorica in the genus *Parafavella* (Protozoa: Tintinnida) in northern Norway waters. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 56, 1822–1827.
- Davis, C.C., 1980. Variations of lorica shape in the genus *Ptychocylis* (Protozoa: Tintinnina) in relation to species identification. *Journal of Plankton Research*, 3, 433–443.
- Dolan, J.R., 2010. Morphology and ecology in tintinnid ciliates of the marine plankton: correlates of lorica dimensions. *Acta Protozoologica*, 49, 235–344.
- Dolan, J.R., Stoeck, T., 2011. Repeated sampling reveals differential variability in measures of species richness and community composition in planktonic protists. *Environmental Microbiology Reports*, 3, 661–666.

- Dolan, J.R., Ritchie, M.E., Tunin-Ley, A., Pizay, M.D., 2009. Dynamics of core and occasional species in the marine plankton: tintinnid ciliates in the north-west Mediterranean Sea. *Journal of Biogeography*, **36**, 887–895.
- Dolan, J.R., Yang, E.J., Lee, S.H., Kim, S.Y., 2013. Tintinnid ciliates of the Amundsen Sea (Antarctica) plankton communities. *Polar Research*, **32**, 19784. doi:10.3402/polar.v32i0.19784.
- *Fernandes, L.F., Tintinnids (Ciliophora - Suborder Tintinnina) from subantarctic and antarctic waters between Argentina and Antarctic Peninsula (35°8-62°8) (November/1992)1999. *Brazilian Journal Oceanography*, **47**, 155–171.
- *Fonda Umani, S., Monti, M., Bergamasco, A., Cabrini, M., De Vittor, C., Burba, N., Del Negro, P., 2005. Plankton community structure and dynamics versus physical structure from Terra Nova Bay to Ross Ice Shelf (Antarctica). *Journal of Marine Systems*, **55**, 31–46.
- *Fonda Umani, S., Monti, M., Cataletto, B. & Budillon, G., 2011. Tintinnid distributions in the Strait of Magellan (Chile). *Polar Biology*, **34**, 1285–1299.
- *Fonda Umani, S., Monti, M., Nuccio, C., 1998. Microzooplankton biomass distribution in Terra Nova Bay, Ross Sea (Antarctica). *Journal of Marine Systems*, **17**, 289–303.
- Froneman, P.W., 2004. Protozooplankton community structure and grazing impact in the eastern Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean in austral summer 1998. *Deep-Sea Research II*, **51**, 2633–2643.
- Garzio, L.M., Steinberg, D.K. 2013. Microzooplankton community composition along the Western Antarctic Peninsula. *Deep-Sea Research I*, **77**, 36–49.
- Gaston, K.J., Spicer, J.I., 2003. *Biodiversity: An Introduction*, 2nd ed. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing, xv pp., 191 pp.
- *Gavrilova, N.A., 2004. Abundance and biomass of tintinnids (Protozoa, ciliophora) in Bransfield Strait in March 2002. *Ukraine Antarctic Journal*, **No. 2, C**, 119–124
- Griffiths, H.J., 2010. Antarctic marine biodiversity — What do we know about the distribution of life in the Southern Ocean? *PLoS ONE*, **5**(8): e11683. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011683.
- *Hara, S., Tanoue, E., 1985. Protists along 150°E in the Southern Ocean: Its composition, stock, and distribution. *Transactions Tokyo University Fisheries*, **6**, 99–115.
- *Hada, Y., 1970. The protozoan plankton of the Antarctic and Subantarctic Seas. *Japanese Scientific Research Expedition Scientific Reports*, **31**, 1–51.
- Hall, M.S., Katz, L.A., 2011. On the nature of species: insights from *Paramecium* and other ciliates. *Genetica*, **139**, 677–684.
- *Heinbokel, J.F., Coats, D.W., 1985. Ciliates and nanophytoplankton in Arthur Harbor, December 1984 and January 1985. *Antarctic Journal United States*, **19**, 135–136.
- *Heinbokel, J.F., Coats, D.W., 1984. Reproductive dynamics of ciliates in the Antarctic ice-edge zone. *Antarctic Journal United States*, **19**, 111–113.
- *Heinbokel, J.F., Coats, D.W., 1986. Reproductive rates and periodicities of oceanic tintinnine ciliates. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **33**, 71–80.
- Henjes, J., Assmy, P., 2008. Particle availability controls agglutination in pelagic tintinnids in the Southern Ocean. *Protist*, **159**, 239–250.
- *Henjes, J., Assmy, P., Klaas, C., Smetacek, V., 2007. Response of the larger protozooplankton to an iron-induced phytoplankton bloom in the Polar Frontal Zone of the Southern Ocean (EisenEx). *Deep-Sea Research II*, **54**, 774–791.
- *Hermosilla, J.G., 1975. Contribución al conocimiento de los dinoflagelados y tintinidos de Antártica. I. Plancton colectado en Diciembre, 1969. *Gayana*, **34**, 3–55.
- Hopkins, T.L., 1987. Midwater food web in McMurdo Sound, Ross Sea, Antarctica. *Marine Biology*, **96**, 93–106.
- *Ishiyama, M., Hiromi, J., Tanimura, A., Kadota, S., 1993. Abundance and biomass distribution of microbial assemblages at the surface in the oceanic province of the Antarctic Ocean. *Proceedings NIPR Symposium on Polar Biol.*, **6**, 6–20.
- *James, M.R., 1989. Role of zooplankton in the nitrogen cycle off the west coast of the South Island, New Zealand, winter 1987. *New Zealand Journal Marine Freshwater Research*, **23**, 507–518.
- Kellermann, A., 1987. Food and feeding ecology of postlarval and juvenile *Pleurogramma antarcticum* (Pisces; Notothenioidae) in the seasonal pack ice zone off the Antarctic Peninsula. *Polar Biology*, **7**, 307–315.
- *Kim, D.-Y., Kim, Y.-O., 1990. Tintinnina (Ciliophora: Oligotrichida) in the Marian Cove, King George Island. *Korean Journal Polar Research*, **1**, 1–10.
- Kim, S.Y., Choi, J.K., Dolan, J.R., Shin, H.C., Lee, S.H., Yang, E.J., 2013. Morphological and ribosomal DNA-based characterization of six Antarctic ciliate morphospecies from the Amundsen Sea with phylogenetic analyses. *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology*, **60**, 497–513. doi:10.1111/jeu.12057
- *Klaas, C., 1997. Microzooplankton distribution and their potential grazing impact in the Antarctic Circumpolar Current. *Deep-Sea Research II*, **44**, 375–393.
- Kofoid, C.A., Campbell, A.S., 1929. A Conspectus of the Marine and Freshwater Ciliata Belonging to the suborder Tintinninea, with Descriptions of New Species Principally from the Agassiz Expedition to the Eastern Tropical Pacific 1904–1905. *University California Publications Zoology*, **34**, 1–403.
- Kofoid, C.A., Campbell, A.S., 1939. Reports on the scientific results of the expedition to the Eastern Tropical Pacific, in charge to Alexander Agassiz, by U.S. Fish Commission Steamer "Albatross" from October 1904 to March 1905, Lieut. Commander L.M. Garrett, U.S.N. commanding. 37. The Ciliata: The Tintinninea. *Bulletin Museum Comparative Zoology Harvard University*, **84**, 1–473.
- Kruse, S., Jansen, S., Krågefsky, S., Bathman, U., 2009. Gut content analysis of three dominant Antarctic copepod species during an induced phytoplankton bloom EIFEX (European iron fertilization experiment). *Marine Ecology*, **30**, 301–312.
- Laackmann, H., 1907. Antarktische Tintinnen. *Zoologischer Anzeiger*, **31**, 235–239.
- Laackmann, H., 1910. Die Tintinnodean der Deutschen Südpolar-Expedition 1901-1903. *Deutsche Südpolar-Expedition. XI. Zoologie III*, **11**, 340–396.
- *Leakey, R.J.G., Fenton, N. & Clarke, A., 1994. The annual cycle of planktonic ciliates in nearshore waters at Signy Island, Antarctica. *Journal Plankton Research*, **16**, 841–856.
- Laval-Peuto, M., 1977. Reconstruction d'une lorica de forme Coxiella par le trophonte nu de *Favella ehrenbergii* (Ciliata, Tintinnina). *Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris, Série D*, **284**, 547–550.
- *Littlepage, J.L., 1968. Plankton investigations in McMurdo Sound. *Antarctic Journal United States*, **3**, 162–163.
- Lohmann, H., 1912. Beiträge zur Charakterisierung des Tier- und Pflanzenlebens in den von 'Deutschland' während ihrer Fahrt nach Buenois Ayres durchfahrenen Gebieten des Atlantischen Ozeans. II. Teil. *Internationale Revue der gesamten Hydrobiologie und Hydrographie*, **5**, 185–225.
- Longhurst, A., 1998. *Ecological geography of the sea*. San Diego, CA, USA: Academic Press, 398 pp.
- Lonsdale, D.J., Caron, D.A., Dennett, M.R., Schaffner, R., 2000. Predation by *Oithona* spp. on protozooplankton in the Ross Sea, Antarctica. *Deep-Sea Research II*, **47**, 3273–3283.
- Mauchline, J., 1980. The biology of Mysids and Euphausiids. *Advances Marine Biology*, **18**, 3–681.
- *Marshall, S.M., 1934. The Silicoflagellata and Tintinninea. *Scientific Reports British Museum Natural History Great Barrier Reef Expedition 1928–29*, **4**, 623–664.
- *Monti, M., Fonda Umani, S., 1999. Distribution of the main microzooplankton taxa in the Ross Sea (Antarctica): Austral Summer 1994. In: Faranda, F.M., Guglielmo, L., Ianora, A. (eds.). *Ross Sea Ecology Italian Antarctic Expeditions 1987–1995*. Springer, pp. 275–289.
- *Monti, M., Fonda Umani, S., 1995. Tintinnids in Terra Nova Bay - Ross Sea during two austral summers (1987/88 and 1989/90). *Acta Protozoologica*, **34**, 193–201.
- Montagnes, D.J.S., 2013. Ecophysiology and behaviour of tintinnids. In: Dolan, J.R., Montagnes, D.J.S., Agatha, S., Coats, D.W.C., Stoecker D.K. (eds.). *The Biology and Ecology of Tintinnid Ciliates: Models for Marine Plankton*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell, pp. 86–122.
- *Murdoch, R.C., 1990. Diet of hoki larvae (*Macruronus novaezelandiae*) off Westland, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal Marine and Freshwater Research*, **24**, 519–527.
- Orejas, C., Gili, J.-M., Arntz, W., 2003. Role of small-plankton communities in the diet of two Antarctic octocorals (*Primnopsis antarctica* and *Primnoella* sp.). *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **250**, 105–116.
- Pierce, R.W., Turner, J.T., 1993. Global biogeography of marine tintinnids. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **94**, 11–26.
- Romero, O.E., Armand, L.K., Crosta, X., Pichon, J.J., 2005. The biogeography of major diatom taxa in Southern Ocean surface sediments: 3. Tropical/Subtropical species. *Paleogeography, Paleoclimates, Paleoecology*, **223**, 49–65.
- *Santoferrara, L.F., Alder, V., 2009. Abundance trends and ecology of planktonic ciliates of the south-western Atlantic (35–63° S): A comparison between neritic and oceanic environments. *Journal Plankton Research*, **31**, 837–851.
- *Sassi, R., Melo, G.D.N., 1986. Tintinnina (Protozoa - Ciliophora - Oligotrichida) from the First Brazilian Expedition to the Antarctic. *Anais Academia Brasileira de Ciências*, **58**, 63–84.
- *Souto, S., 1972. Tintinnidos subantárticos del Mar Argentino (Protozoa, Ciliata). *Physis, Buenos Aires*, **31**, 451–462.
- *Stoecker, D.K., Putt, M., Moisan, T., 1995. Nano- and microplankton dynamics during the spring *Phaeocystis* sp. bloom in McMurdo Sound, Antarctica. *Journal Marine Biological Association United Kingdom*, **75**, 815–832.
- *Thompson, G.A., Alder, V.A., Boltovskoy, D. & Brandini, F.P., 1999. Abundance and biogeography of tintinnids (Ciliophora) and associated microzooplankton in the Southwestern Atlantic Ocean. *Journal Plankton Research*, **21**, 1265–1298.
- *Thompson, G.A., Alder, V.A. & Boltovskoy, D., 2001. Tintinnids (Ciliophora) and Other Net Microzooplankton (>30 um) in Southwestern Atlantic Shelf Break Waters. *P.S.Z.N.I. Marine Ecology*, **22**, 343–355.
- *Thompson, G.A., Alder, V.A., 2005. Patterns in tintinnid species composition and abundance in relation to hydrological conditions of the southwestern Atlantic during austral spring. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, **40**, 85–101.
- *Tumantseva, N.I., 1989. Role of mass species of infusoria in the consumption of phytoplankton in Antarctic and Subantarctic waters of the Pacific Ocean. *Oceanology*, **29**, 96–99.
- Tsuda, A., Kawaguchi, S., 1997. Microzooplankton grazing in the surface water of the Southern Ocean during an austral summer. *Polar Biology*, **18**, 240–245.
- *Wasik, A., Mikolajczyk, E., 1990. Tintinnids near pack-ice between South Shetland and the South Orkney Islands (26 Dec. 1988 – 18 Jan. 1989). *Acta Protozoologica*, **29**, 229–244.
- Wasik, A., Mikolajczyk, Ligowski, R. 1996. Agglutinated loricae of some Baltic and Antarctic Tintinnina species (Ciliophora). *Journal of Plankton Research*, **18**, 1931–1940.
- Wickham, S.A., Steinmair, U., Kamennaya, N., 2011. Ciliate distributions and forcing factors in the Amundsen and Bellingshausen Seas (Antarctica). *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, **62**, 215–230.
- Williams, R., McCall, H., Pierce, R.W., Turner, J.T., 1994. Speciation of the tintinnid genus *Cymatocylis* by morphometric analysis of the loricae. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **107**, 263–272.