Discerning dominant temporal patterns of bio-optical properties in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea (BOUSSOLE site)
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A wavelet analysis has been applied, for the first time, to 3-year high-frequency field observations of bio-optical properties (i.e. chlorophyll-fluorescence, beam attenuation and backscattering coefficients) in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea (BOUSSOLE site), in order to identify their dominant temporal patterns and evolution. A cross-wavelet and coherence analysis has also been applied to paired bio-optical coefficients time-series at the BOUSSOLE site, which allows identifying the temporal relationship between the cycles of the bio-optical properties. Annual, six- and four-month, intra-seasonal (i.e., mid- and short-term) cycles are identified from the time-series analysis. The periodicities of chlorophyll-fluorescence, beam attenuation and particulate backscattering coefficients correlate well at different temporal scales and specific seasons. At annual, six- and four-month scales, different bio-optical properties follow rather similar patterns, likely driven by physical forcing. Intra-seasonal variability consists in both mid- and short-term variations. The former dominates during the winter and are related to episodic bloom events, while the latter variations (i.e., diel) prevail during summer, in a stratified water column.

1. Introduction

Phenology is the study of the timing of periodic life events (Morren, 1849a; Winder and Cloern, 2010). It was first investigated on terrestrial plants, which are sensitive to climate variability (Cleland et al., 2007; Winder and Cloern, 2010). Phenology of marine ecosystems has been studied for a few decades, especially by use of bio-optical proxies that are accessible through satellite ocean color remote sensing. In the ocean, phytoplankton are considered as a sentinel of changes in the ecosystems, because they respond rapidly to environmental perturbations (Bode et al., 2015). In such a context, several studies on phytoplankton phenology (i.e. seasonal and annual cycles) have been conducted in marine ecosystems, from inland to open ocean waters, using chlorophyll-a data (Behrenfeld, 2010; Winder and Cloern, 2010; Carey et al., 2016; Mignot et al., 2018). Other cycles (e.g. lowest temporal cycles) are less well-known.

In the ocean, changes in nutrients and light conditions have an impact on the phytoplankton standing stock and on the intracellular chlorophyll concentration (Chl), which is widely used as a proxy for phytoplankton biomass (Volpe et al., 2012; Siegel et al., 2013). In the Mediterranean Sea, under high nutrients and low light, phytoplankton growth rate shows the maximum concentration values. When light intensity increases, there is no longer a need for the cells to produce and sustain large amounts of the energetically expensive chlorophyll pigment. Under these conditions, phytoplankton exploit the nutrients still present in the upper layer. This, together with the light conditions provided by the increased stratification, allows phytoplankton to grow, despite phytoplankton chlorophyll concentration decreases. The condition of the strong increase in light determines the decline of phytoplankton pigment demand, while low nutrient concentrations limit phytoplankton population growth and division rates. Under low nutrients (generally the period of highest stratification of water column) and high light conditions, phytoplankton shows the minima of abundance. When light starts to decrease and mixing occurs again, phytoplankton assign the energy from the newly available nutrients into the production of chlorophyll, however, limiting their growth rate (Lavigne et al., 2013; Bellacicco et al., 2016; Barbeix et al., 2018).

In temperate seas like the Mediterranean Sea, a major feature of the annual cycle is the spring bloom, as it occurs, for instance, in the Gulf of Lion (D’Ortenzio et al., 2014; Mayot et al., 2017). This bloom typically persists for a few weeks to months. The variability of phytoplankton annual and seasonal patterns has thus been well studied (Behrenfeld,
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The objectives of this study are to determine the intra-annual dominant temporal patterns (from the lowest up to annual cycles) of the above-mentioned bio-optical parameters, the changes of these cycles over time, the characteristics and recurrence strength at those periods and to define the temporal relationship between the cycles of the bio-optical properties. To this aim a Wavelet Analysis (WA) was applied, for the first time, to a 3-year time-series (2011–2013) of surface Chlfluorescence, bbp and cp at the BOUSSOLE site in the northwestern (NW) Mediterranean Sea (Antoine et al., 2006, Fig. 1), enabling the detection of all the intra-annual dominant temporal patterns.

2. Area of study, data and methods

2.1. BOUSSOLE site

The BOUSSOLE (BOUee pour l’acquiSition d’une Série Optique a Long termE) project started in 1999, and its activities are developed on a site located in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea, at about 32 nautical miles from the French coast (Fig. 1). Essential information about the site characteristics, the measurement platform, and the instrumentation are also provided in Antoine et al. (2006, 2008a,b). The site is protected from coastal inputs by the Ligurian Current, which flows along the coast toward the southwest (Millot, 1999). The physical conditions of the area show strong seasonality (D’Ortenzio et al., 2014), with deep (~400 m) mixed layers in winter, and a marked stratification in summer (~20 m; Antoine et al., 2011; Mayot et al., 2017). Hydrodynamics drive seasonal changes in phytoplankton abundance, which shows a typical mid-latitude temporal pattern. Oligotrophic conditions prevail in summer where Chl is about 0.1 mg m−2 (minima ~0.05 mg m−3), and concentrations increase up to 3–5 mg m−3 during the spring bloom, and stay between 0.1 and 0.3 mg m−3 the rest of the year (Gernez et al., 2011, Kheireddine and Antoine, 2014). There is,
accompanying a large range of optical properties (Antoine et al., 2006; Gernez et al., 2011), as observed over the entire northwestern Mediterranean Sea (Bosc et al., 2004).

A moored buoy has been permanently deployed at the BOUSSOLE site since September 2003 and operates in a quasi-continuous mode, with data acquisition for 1 min every 15 min both night and day. Adequate measures have to be taken to minimize or eliminate bio-fouling, which is unavoidable with moored instrument. All instruments installed on the BOUSSOLE buoy are cleaned by divers about every 2 weeks.

2.2. Optical measurements

The volume scattering function at 140°, $\beta(140)$, is measured using in alternation two HOBI Labs Hydroscat-4 backscattering meters installed at the lower measurements depth of the buoy (ca. 9 m). Instruments are calibrated before deployments (which last about 6–12 months) and are equipped with filters at 442, 488, 550, and 620 nm, here only the green band is used. The instruments operate at 1 Hz, so that about 60 measurements are recorded during 1 min, from which the median is taken as representative for $\beta(140)$. Dark current measurements are performed on site with a neoprene cap covering the instrument windows, average dark readings are subtracted to the time-series for each deployment. The $\beta(140)$ values are also corrected for attenuation along the measurement path (the $\sigma(\lambda)$ correction of Maffione and Dana, 1997) using $c_p$ (see below) and the total absorption coefficient derived from inversion of the diffuse attenuation coefficient for downward irradiance ($K_d$) and the irradiance reflectance ($R$). $K_d$ and $R$ are retrieved from parallel measurements performed with a set of Atlantic OCR-200 series radiometers. $b_{bp}$ is derived from the corrected $\beta(140)$ as follows (Maffione and Dana, 1997; Boss and Pégau, 2001):

$$b_{bp}(550) = 2\pi c_p(\beta(140), 550) - \beta_b(550) \, (m^{-1})$$

where $c_p = 1.13$ (D. R. Dana and R. A. Maffione, unpublished manuscript, 2014) and $\beta_b(140)$, the pure seawater scattering at 140°, is computed following Zhang et al. (2009); Zhang and Hu (2009) using the temperature and salinity measured at the same depth with a Sea-Bird Scientific SBE-37S CTD sensor.

The particulate transmittance ($Tr_p$ %) at 650 nm is measured at 4 and 9 m with 25 cm path length WETLabs C-Star transmissometers (acceptance angle is 1.2°). Instruments are factory calibrated with deionized, ultra-filtered, UV-screened water. The corresponding particulate beam attenuation coefficient, $c_p$, is then calculated as:

$$c_p(650) = -\frac{1}{0.25} \ln \left( \frac{Tr_p(650)}{100} \right) \, (m^{-1})$$

This assumes that absorption by colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) is negligible at 650 nm (Bricaud et al., 1981).

Chlorophyll-a fluorescence (Chl-Fluo) is measured in RFU with an ECOFLNTUs WET Labs (now Sea-Bird Scientific) fluorimeter (470 nm EX/695 nm EM; see https://www.seabird.com/combination-sensors/eco-flu/lntu?family?productCategoryId=54758054352) at 4 m and 9 m depth.

In this study, we selected $b_{bp}$, $c_p$, and Chl Fluo at the depth of 9 m in order to compare them together. Here, $b_{bp}$ is referred to $b_{bp}$ at 550 nm (m$^{-1}$), $c_p$ to $c_p$ at 650 nm (m$^{-1}$).

2.3. Multi-channel spectral analysis (M-SSA)

For each parameter, the period from to 2011 to 2013 was used for a total of 105216 measurements after quality control (Fig. 2). It consists in removing outliers using three standard deviations (±σ) confidence limit.

An important prerequisite for applying WA is that the time-series has to be continuous at the minimum considered frequency. Missing data, including those not passing quality control, represented 19.57%, 13.40% and 22.38% of Chl-Fluo, $b_{bp}$ and $c_p$ time-series, respectively. Gaps were filled using a Multi-Channel Singular Spectral Analysis (M-SSA) technique which is a non-parametric spectral estimation method relying on data only (Ghil et al., 2002; Kondrashov and Ghil, 2006; 2010). This technique is not based on a priori parametrized family of probability distribution. The method uses temporal correlation to fill in the missing data and represents a generalization of the Beckers and Rixen (2003) spatial empirical orthogonal functions-(EOFs)-based reconstruction. Kondrashov and Ghil (2006) demonstrated that an increased number of gaps yields the same effect as an increase of the noise in the measurements. Two different inputs are required to apply M-SSA for field reconstruction: window-length (W) and components (M). Both depend on the characteristics of the time-series, and need to be accurately defined to avoid any bias in the reconstructed fields. The W represents the length of the sliding window (expressed in number of observation) used in the M-SSA in order to identify the leading components of the time-series (Ghil et al., 2002; Kondrashov and Ghil, 2006; 2010). Diversely, M is the number of eigen-functions used for signal reconstruction. Here, we applied the M-SSA to the three time-series using specific W (W = 5000) and M components (i.e., M = 1 up to 20) following the recommendations listed in Ghil et al. (2002) and Kondrashov et al. (2005, 2010). These settings are compatible with the
properties of the time-series hereby analyzed, taking into account long, mid- and short-term variations. Fig. 2 (a, b, c) shows the time series of each parameter with missing data reconstructed after application of M-SSA technique. Fig. 2d is the M-SSA spectrum of filled time-series with \( W = 5000 \). The optimum number \( M = 20 \) corresponds to the number of modes that explain more than 95% of the variance the M-SSA spectrum.

2.4. Wavelet analysis

Since it was first introduced by Morlet (1982a,b; Part I and II), WA has been widely applied to different fields of science. The main characteristic of the WA lays on the decomposition time-series, and its time-scale localization and amplitude. Usually, a signal of the series can be decomposed into different harmonic components using, for example, the Fourier method. This can be defined as a partition of the variance of the series into its different oscillating components with different frequencies (i.e. the periods). The spectral frequency analysis based on the widely-used Fourier method makes the assumption that the statistical properties of the time-series do not vary with time, being stationary and constant. In such a context, the oceanographic processes do not respect the stationary assumption, and there are evidences of the non-stationary nature of bio-optical properties (e.g. \( b_{sc} \) or \( c_{fl} \)) along a single year (Antoine et al., 2011; Gernez et al., 2011; Dall’Olmo et al., 2012; Barnes and Antoine, 2014; Kheireddine et al., 2014). But, the WA overcomes this problem of non-stationary conditions by performing a local time-scale decomposition of the signal. Thus, WA provides time-dependent spectra (Lau and Weng, 1995; Torrence and Campo, 1998; Percival and Walden, 2000; Ampe et al., 2014). This approach helps to track how the different scales are related to the periodic components of the signal. WA is applicable to stationary or non-stationary time-series and quantities correlation between two signals (Daubechies, 1992; Lau and Weng 1995; Cazalles et al., 2008; Garcia-Reyes et al., 2013). Fig. 3 gives an example of application of WA to a stationary synthetic signal and provides elements to interpret the results obtained by this analysis as well as definition of the main WA indexes.

Additionally, in order to understand which are the main dominant cycles that explain the variability on a three-year's time-series, the coefficient \( \text{AWP}^* \) is computed as follows:

\[
\text{AWP}^* = \frac{\text{AWP}_{\text{period}}}{\text{AWP}_{\text{maximum}}}
\]

\( \text{AWP}^* \) is, thus, the ratio between the average wavelet power \( (\text{AWP}_{\text{period}}; \text{Table 1}) \) of each local maximum with respect to the absolute AWP maximum for each parameter \( k \) \( (\text{AWP}_{\text{maximum}}; \text{Table 1}) \). The ratios allow the rank of cycles for each parameter evaluating which cycles are the most important (values approximately 1) and which are not (values close to 0).

A cross-wavelet analysis (CWA) has also been applied to paired bio-optical properties \( 1-3 \) years time-series at BOUSSOLE site, which allows identification of the temporal relationship between the cycles of the bio-optical properties. The CWA is fundamentally a comparison between the cross-wavelet coherence, which can assume values between 0 and 1, indicating the cross-correlation between the spectra of two time-series, as a function of the period. The most important information obtained by CWA is the identification of the portions of \( x(t) \) that covaries with \( y(t) \) at specific periods. An output from CWA, coupled to the wavelet coherence spectra (WCS), is its time-average (the average coherence; AC). The AC is equal to 1 when there is a perfect linear relationship at particular periods between the two time-series spectra. In this work, the CWA has been used to investigate the strength of the relationship between bio-optical properties at different temporal scales (e.g. Figs. 6, 9-11) and to understand the relative phases between the time-series. For more information about the theoretical background and applications of WA and CWA see Torrence and Campo (1998) and Cazelles et al. (2008).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>Chlorophyll Concentration (mg m(^{-3}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b_{sc} ) (( \lambda ))</td>
<td>Particulate backscattering coefficient (m(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_{fl} ) (( \lambda ))</td>
<td>Beam attenuation coefficient (m(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chl-Fluo</td>
<td>Chlorophyll-Fluorescence (RFU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-SSA</td>
<td>Multi-Channel Singular Spectral Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Window Length (N° of observations)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>Wavelet Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWA</td>
<td>Cross-Wavelet Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWS</td>
<td>Average Wavelet Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWP</td>
<td>Average Wavelet Power Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCS</td>
<td>Wavelet Coherence Spectra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>Average Coherence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results

This section starts from the description of each time-series, throughout the detection of the intra-annual dominant temporal patterns, and ends with the description of the changes of the main cycles over time and the definition of the temporal relationships between the different cycles of the bio-optical properties here studied.

3.1. Description of the time-series

The time-series of each parameter whose gaps are filled by using the
3.3. Wavelet power spectra

The AWP value shows its maximum (30.8) at this period (Table 2) with two secondary maxima at 4-months and at 6-months, both accounting for half of the variability with respect to the annual periodicity (14.6 and 15.6 respectively; Table 2).

In the case of \( b_{bp} \), the annual cycle has an AWP value of 24.3. The most dominant patterns are associated to the 6-month cycle with an AWP of 29.7, and 4-month cycle with AWP of 24.3 (Fig. 4). A significant cycle is also present with a period of 58 days (AWP value of 11.0). The WPS (Fig. 5b) highlights a range between 11 and 16 days, particularly evident at the winter-to-spring transitions, with a clear inter-annual variability and the AWP value for this cycle is less than 5 (Fig. 4). For cycles between 0.5 and 2 days, the AWP is generally lower, yet not zero, indicating that some periodicity for \( b_{bp} \) at these scales exists though with limited impact on the overall variability (Table 2). Cycles less than 2 days also have less statistical significance along the three years of data.

The \( c_p \) cycles at 4-months, 6-months, and 1-year periods are observed in the WPS (Fig. 5c), resulting in AWP values of 20.3, 33.0 and 31.8, respectively (Fig. 4 and Table 2). Other relative maxima have average values less than 6. The WPS (Fig. 5c) also highlights 1, 2, 4, 11-15, 27 and 57 day cycles, evident at the transition from winter to spring of 2012. For the period at 1 day, the AWP is low, though greater than zero, and still statistically significant, indicating that some \( c_p \) variability at this scale exists (Table 2).

The signal of the annual cycle covers all seasons for all parameters (Fig. 5), which have maxima at a particular moment of the year: always in winter and spring (Figs. 2 and 5). The 6-month period is the dominant pattern in the case of \( b_{bp} \) and \( c_p \), and is the second dominant pattern in the Chl-Fluo time-series. The 4-month cycle shows a considerable magnitude for \( b_{bp} \) and \( c_p \), but is limited for Chl-Fluo (Table 2). Moving to the lowest temporal cycles, Fig. 5 shows how diel cycles are detectable for most of the three time-series, confirming the importance of this temporal scale, especially for Chl-Fluo and \( c_p \) time-series.

Summarizing, Chl-Fluo shows a strong annual cycle signal along the entire time-series, but particularly from spring 2011 to winter 2013 considering only the lightened areas (Fig. 5a). The year 2012 (more specifically from winter 2011 to spring 2013) shows a strong cyclic nature at periods greater than 1 week.

WPS of \( b_{bp} \) shows high power levels from 128 to 365 day periods (Fig. 5b). However, for periods lower than 64 days, the strength of the WPS is high only during spring and winter.

Contrarily, WPS of \( c_p \) (Fig. 5c), shows evident inter-annual variability yet not all the temporal footprints (i.e. periods) have high values in 2011 and 2013. Dominant cycles are persistent at higher temporal scales from 2011 to 2013, whereas periods lower than 64 days are dominant only in the winter and spring in agreement with the other bio-optical properties.

The Chl-Fluo AWP is also characterized by two relative maxima in correspondence with 46 and 124 days (Table 2), occurring only in the mesotrophic periods of the year (winter – spring of 2012; Fig. 5a). The AWP of \( b_{bp} \) shows relevant cycles also at the 11-16, 58 and 126 day periods occurring in the most productive periods of the year of 2011–2013 (winter and spring). Furthermore, the 126-day cycle has a strong influence on the entire time-average spectrum (Figs. 4 and 5b). In case of \( c_p \), there is a relevant cycle at 130 days. All these cycles have strong signals during the winter and spring seasons (Fig. 5). In such a context, Fig. 6 illustrates the AC obtained with the CWA between the different bio-optical coefficients along the entire 3-year time-series of observations. A high correlation (> 0.8) between the periodicities of bio-optical coefficients greater than 10 days is observed (Fig. 6). Periodicity at these scales has been poorly represented in the literature, and with the use of WA all of these cycles can be retrieved and described. Fig. 5 displays evident cycles in winter 2012 for all parameters (black lines; i.e. a productive period), and, therefore, it is an optimum case of study to highlight those lesser-known cycles, such as the intra-seasonal cycles (i.e. diel, weekly, monthly). In order to complement the analysis, an opposite case of study has been analyzed by applying a specific WA
on summer 2012, i.e., the most oligotrophic period. Moreover, in these seasons, the diurnal variance of parameters is, in general, the highest in winter and the lowest in summer (not shown). Finally, the selected data sets have a limited number of missing observations (less than 11% in both winter and summer seasons), restricting the use of reconstructed measurements.

In order to highlight the mid and short-term variability, a specific WA has thus been applied to two selected seasons of the time-series: winter and summer 2012 (Figs. 7 and 8). Fig. 7 shows the AWP obtained by WA on winter 2012 and Table 3 contains the AWP* values correspondent to the maxima. For the $b_{bp}$, four significant maxima are retrieved (yellow circles in Fig. 7a) at periods of 17, 10, 5 and 1 days indicating four major patterns of $b_{bp}$ variability in this season. There is also a 12 h cycle that emerges from the analysis, but with reduced statistical significance in respect to other cycles (less than 95%). The $c_p$ AWP shows five relative maxima at 29, 12, 3, 2, and 1 days. A diel cycle is evident and represents the third maximum even if with a low AWP. Ultimately, for the Chl-Fluo, detected relative maxima are 29, 18, 11, 3 and 1 days. In winter, the dominant temporal patterns are of cycles greater than 10 days for all three parameters.

The opposite season, summer, is the counterpart case of study. This is the period of lowest productivity along the entire year at BOUSSOLE site. Fig. 8 shows a time-series for each parameter and the corresponding AWP and AWP* (Table 4). For the $b_{bp}$, different maxima emerged (yellow circles in Fig. 7a) in correspondence to 18, 10, 7, 4, 2, 1 days and 12 h indicating these cycles as the main driven temporal patterns of variability during the season. The diel cycle is the second important temporal pattern for this coefficient. The $c_p$ AWP shows five relative maxima at 29, 12, 3, 2, and 1 days. A diel cycle is evident and represents the third maximum even if with a low AWP. Ultimately, for the Chl-Fluo, detected relative maxima are 29, 18, 11, 3 and 1 days. In winter, the dominant temporal patterns are of cycles greater than 10 days for all three parameters.

The opposite season, summer, is the counterpart case of study. This is the period of lowest productivity along the entire year at BOUSSOLE site. Fig. 8 shows a time-series for each parameter and the corresponding AWP and AWP* (Table 4). For the $b_{bp}$, four significant maxima are retrieved (yellow circles in Fig. 7a) at periods of 17, 10, 5 and 1 days indicating four major patterns of $b_{bp}$ variability in this season. There is also a 12 h cycle that emerges from the analysis, but with reduced statistical significance in respect to other cycles (less than 95%). The $c_p$ AWP shows five relative maxima at 29, 12, 3, 2, and 1 days. A diel cycle is evident and represents the third maximum even if with a low AWP. Ultimately, for the Chl-Fluo, detected relative maxima are 29, 18, 11, 3 and 1 days. In winter, the dominant temporal patterns are of cycles greater than 10 days for all three parameters.

The opposite season, summer, is the counterpart case of study. This is the period of lowest productivity along the entire year at BOUSSOLE site. Fig. 8 shows a time-series for each parameter and the corresponding AWP and AWP* (Table 4). For the $b_{bp}$, four significant maxima are retrieved (yellow circles in Fig. 7a) at periods of 17, 10, 5 and 1 days indicating four major patterns of $b_{bp}$ variability in this season. There is also a 12 h cycle that emerges from the analysis, but with reduced statistical significance in respect to other cycles (less than 95%). The $c_p$ AWP shows five relative maxima at 29, 12, 3, 2, and 1 days. A diel cycle is evident and represents the third maximum even if with a low AWP. Ultimately, for the Chl-Fluo, detected relative maxima are 29, 18, 11, 3 and 1 days. In winter, the dominant temporal patterns are of cycles greater than 10 days for all three parameters.
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The opposite season, summer, is the counterpart case of study. This is the period of lowest productivity along the entire year at BOUSSOLE site. Fig. 8 shows a time-series for each parameter and the corresponding AWP and AWP* (Table 4). For the $b_{bp}$, four significant maxima are retrieved (yellow circles in Fig. 7a) at periods of 17, 10, 5 and 1 days indicating four major patterns of $b_{bp}$ variability in this season. There is also a 12 h cycle that emerges from the analysis, but with reduced statistical significance in respect to other cycles (less than 95%). The $c_p$ AWP shows five relative maxima at 29, 12, 3, 2, and 1 days. A diel cycle is evident and represents the third maximum even if with a low AWP. Ultimately, for the Chl-Fluo, detected relative maxima are 29, 18, 11, 3 and 1 days. In winter, the dominant temporal patterns are of cycles greater than 10 days for all three parameters.
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The opposite season, summer, is the counterpart case of study. This is the period of lowest productivity along the entire year at BOUSSOLE site. Fig. 8 shows a time-series for each parameter and the corresponding AWP and AWP* (Table 4). For the $b_{bp}$, four significant maxima are retrieved (yellow circles in Fig. 7a) at periods of 17, 10, 5 and 1 days indicating four major patterns of $b_{bp}$ variability in this season. There is also a 12 h cycle that emerges from the analysis, but with reduced statistical significance in respect to other cycles (less than 95%). The $c_p$ AWP shows five relative maxima at 29, 12, 3, 2, and 1 days. A diel cycle is evident and represents the third maximum even if with a low AWP. Ultimately, for the Chl-Fluo, detected relative maxima are 29, 18, 11, 3 and 1 days. In winter, the dominant temporal patterns are of cycles greater than 10 days for all three parameters.
interpretation of the results for the Chl-Fluo diel cycle (Xing et al., 2017).

### 3.4. Cross-wavelet analysis

The Chl-Fluo vs $b_{bp}$ time-series have high AC values for periods ranging from 1 day ($\simeq 0.7$) to 1 year ($\simeq 1$). At lower scales, there is a high correlation only during the winter and spring (Fig. 9). This is also true for the Chl-Fluo vs $c_p$ and the $c_p$ vs $b_{bp}$ time-series which have coherence values 0.7 and 0.8 for the 1 day period, respectively, and up to $\simeq 1$ at the annual scale. At periods lower than 1 day, the correlation between the three bio-optical parameters is low (< 0.5). The Chl-Fluo to $c_p$ coherence analysis reveals that the correlation is higher at daily scales (> 0.7) with respect to Chl-Fluo/$b_{bp}$. The AC value is higher with respect to Chl-Fluo and $b_{bp}$. This is confirmed by what is expected about the relationship between $b_{bp}$ and phytoplankton cells (Loisel et al., 2001; Stramski et al., 2004; Dall’Olmo et al., 2009, 2012).

### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Annual cycle

The most generally observed pattern was a phytoplankton maximum in the winter/spring season, minimum in summer and a successive increase in fall season, that corresponds to a typical annual cycle of a temperate ocean, as already reported for the north-western Mediterranean Sea (Antoine et al., 2011; D’Ortenzio et al., 2014).

The main pattern revealed by WA applied to BOUSSOLE data is the periodicity of the seasonal evolution: from late fall to early spring (essentially the winter) where there is always a convergence of Chl-Fluo, $b_{bp}$ and $c_p$ signals (Figs. 5 and 9).

The spring bloom in the Ligurian Sea is regulated by the increase of light availability after the winter mixing of the water column that redistributes nutrients from deep to surface waters (Antoine et al., 2011; D’Ortenzio et al., 2014). The phasing, duration and intensity of the annual bloom varies from year to year, with a stronger bloom in 2012 in respect to 2011 and 2013 (Fig. 2; Mayot et al., 2016). This variability arises from the range of the processes controlling bloom dynamics,
including physical forcing such as meteorological extreme events, and/or interaction between different species of phytoplankton organisms (Winder and Cloern, 2010).

During summer, the increase of light availability causes the decrease of intra-cellular photosynthetic pigments concentration need and, concurrently, the low nutrient availability limits the phytoplankton population growth and its abundance stays low (Bellacicco et al., 2016). The bbp and cp are characterized by a similar temporal pattern in summer (Figs. 2 and 5). During fall, as light availability decreases and mixed layer deepens, phytoplankton concentration increases again. The bbp and cp time-series are coherent with the Chl-Fluo time-series at annual scale because both bbp and cp are sensitive to particle size ranges that include phytoplankton (Stramski et al., 2004; Sosik, 2008; Organelli et al., 2018), thus phytoplankton cells abundance strongly impacts the variability of bbp and cp. Figs. 6 and 9 reveal how the bio-optical properties have a WCS and AC close to 1 at annual scale along the entire time-series. In detail, Chl-Fluo is in advance in respect to the annual signal of bbp (Fig. 9a), while with cp they are in phase (Fig. 9b). The cp leads in respect to the bbp annual signal as highlighted by the arrows in Fig. 9c. In such a context, the maximum of Chl-Fluo is in shift in advance of approximately 20 days with respect to cp and bbp (Fig. 4 and Table 2). This could be attributed to the inter-annual variability (low bloom maxima in 2011 and two maxima in 2013 for Chl-Fluo) which determined a dephasing in the AWP. Another reasonable explanation can be that the Chl-Fluo annual maximum (independently of its strength) is not occurring always at the same time (i.e. indeed there are four maxima in the Chl-Fluo time-series: March in 2011 and 2012, April and December in 2013; Fig. 2). Nevertheless, there is a good coherence between the parameters at annual temporal scales (AC ≃ 1; Fig. 6).

4.2. Six- and four-month cycles

Other fundamental cycles retrieved by WA are at 6 months and 4 months. The 6-month cycle is unexpectedly the most important cycle in cases of bbp and cp, while for Chl-Fluo it is second in terms of dominance. The 4-month cycle is the third important cycle for all of the parameters, especially for bbp (Table 2). AC shows a strong correlation of the WPS for all combinations of bio-optical coefficients at these periods (≃ 1; Figs. 6 and 9). The 6-month cycle is dominant for bbp and cp, whereas it has a lower strength for the Chl-Fluo, a consequence of the inter-annual variability of its WPS (Fig. 5a). The 6- and 4-month cycles are interpreted here as mainly due to the winter-to-spring modification of the mixing intensity (D’Ortenzio et al., 2005), nutrient and light availability, grazing and shift in phytoplankton community structures (Mignot et al., 2014; Sammartino et al., 2015). Bellacicco et al. (2016) highlight that the use of Chl as a proxy of phytoplankton biomass, as well as Chl-Fluo, is strictly influenced by intracellular processes, especially in intermediate seasons, such as late spring and early fall. Alternately, bbp and cp are sensitive to the abundance of...
phytoplankton cells and non-algal particles, as well as particle size distribution, refractive index, and the shape and structure of particles in the seawater. Therefore, in these intermediate periods, where the Chl-Fluo signal is low while cp and bbp are relatively high, the 6-month cycle signal detection could be reduced impacting total seasonal and annual cycles. For example, in early fall, there are low nutrients and low light conditions and the result is an increase of phytoplankton cells, as indicated by the increase of cp and bbp, however phytoplankton does not have a high concentration of photosynthetic pigment, and consequently a low Chl-Fluo. In late spring, the photoacclimation process is particularly relevant and impacts on Chl-Fluo due to high nutrients and high light availability. The bbp and cp proxies are not affected by the phytoplankton physiological state (Bellacicco et al., 2016; Barbieux et al., 2018) and the effect of the carbon accumulation in phytoplankton cells dominates the cp and bbp WPS (Figs. 4 and 5b, c), while the physiological signal (i.e. photoacclimation) has an effect on the strength of the signal in WPS of the Chl-Fluo signal (Figs. 4 and 5a). Fig. 9 displays how cp signal is in advance with respect to bbp at 6 month cycles along 2012 and 2013. On the other hand, the bbp signal is in delay in respect to Chl-Fluo in 2012, while with cp they are in phase from summer 2011 to spring 2012. Fig. 9 also shows the relationship between parameters at the period of 4-months. In this period, Chl-Fluo signal is delayed in respect to cp as in the case of 6-months, while cp signal seems to be in advance compared to the bbp signal. From the analysis, the intensity of a 4-month cycle differs in cases of Chl-Fluo in respect to bbp and cp (Table 2).

4.3. Intra-seasonal variability: mid- and short-term cycles

WA detected relevant cycles at scales other than annual, 6- and 4-months are interpreted here as caused by intra-seasonal (i.e. from diel to monthly) variability of the examined bio-optical parameters: the highest cycles are defined as mid-term cycles, while the lowest as short-term counterparts. In such a context, the specific WA applied to these particular periods of winter and summer 2012 enables the detection and power of these additional sources of variability (from 12 h to 29 days; Tables 3 and 4).

At the beginning of winter and over the 16 day period, cp and Chl-Fluo signals are in phase and then cp is in advance compared to the Chl-Fluo footprint. Contrarily, bbp and Chl-Fluo indicators are in phase along the entire season. From 2 day up to 16 day periods, Chl-Fluo is partially in phase with bbp and cp, however, some hotspots occur in which both bbp and cp have phase differences in respect to the Chl-Fluo signal. In cases of bbp to cp, at these highest periods, cp leads the bbp mark (Fig. 10).

In summer, at the range of 8–16 days, Chl-Fluo is in advance in respect to the bbp signal, while on the reverse, the Chl-Fluo indicator at this period is delayed with respect to the cp. Regarding the bbp to cp relationship, the former is in advance with respect to the latter at the beginning of summer, while at the end of summer, there is an opposite situation of delay. Between 2 and 8 days, Chl-Fluo is not in phase with both cp and bbp signals (e.g. start of summer), while the optical parameters are in phase (Fig. 11).

A possible explanation of these mid-term cycles could also be given by episodic phytoplankton biomass increases in response to stochastic events that potentially impact the mixed layer dynamics which are typical of the winter period at the BOUSSOLE site. For instance, as highlighted by Winder and Cloern (2010), extreme wind events could generate biomass oscillations by temporarily reducing the phytoplankton bloom. In addition, Nezlin et al. (2002) showed, using WA, that short-period variations (less than 100 days) of remotely-sensed chlorophyll during spring seasons correlated with surface water, air
temperatures and wind stress, that have rapidly varied (i.e. at the intermediate scales that we analyze here). The mechanism of these variations was an intensification of phytoplankton growth resulting from mixing of the water column by wind stress and entrainment of cold, rich in nutrients, water into the euphotic layer. Monteiro et al. (2015) demonstrated the presence of an intra-seasonal variability that is always driven by wind stress and has a temporal scale from daily up to 14–20 days. These orders of magnitude are consistent with the maxima reported in the AWP plots, for both winter and summer 2012 (Figs. 7 and 8; Tables 3 and 4).

The general Chl-Fluo and $c_p$ WPS also show a clear diel cycle footprint, particularly evident during high biomass periods (winter and spring in Fig. 5a and c). On the other hand, the diel signal is less evident for $b_{bp}$ (Figs. 4 and 5b and Table 2). The correlation between $c_p$ and Chl-Fluo at diel scale (AC greater than 0.7 in Fig. 6) is well known during winter and spring, when the particles abundance is dominated by phytoplankton cells (Kheireddine and Antoine, 2014; Bellacicco et al., 2016). The diel changes are generally considered to be driven by specific forcings (Oubelkheir et al., 2005; Oubelkheir and Scandra 2008; Gernez et al., 2011, Loisel et al., 2011; Barnes and Antoine, 2014; Kheireddine and Antoine, 2014). At diel cycle, the correlation between Chl-Fluo and $b_{bp}$ periodicities is lower ($\approx$0.6) than to Chl-Fluo vs. $c_p$ ($>0.7$). A good correlation is found in the $b_{bp}$ vs $c_p$ ($>0.7$).

By applying the specific WA over a three-month period both in winter and summer seasons, more information can be gathered about short-term variability, especially on the diel cycle.

During the winter, Chl-Fluo signal is in advance to $c_p$ without any phases between parameters despite AC showing high values (Fig. 10). Reversely, the AC between Chl-Fluo and $b_{bp}$ is lower (less than 0.5) below diel cycle. The AC between $b_{bp}$ and $c_p$ is always lower than 0.6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Per. (days)</th>
<th>351</th>
<th>200</th>
<th>124</th>
<th>46</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$AWP_{Chl-Fluo}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$AWP_{bbp}$</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$AWP_{cp}$</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2**

AWP$^*$ from the AWP of each parameter. Numbers in bold indicate the dominant cycles.
Table 4
AWP* from the AWP of each parameter for Summer 2012. Numbers in bold indicate the dominant cycles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period (days)</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AWPCP</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWCCP</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWCBP</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWPChl-Fluo</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Fig. 10).

In the winter, the period of strong mixing and start of the bloom (Barnes and Antoine, 2014; Kheireddine and Antoine, 2014; Bellacicco et al., 2016), cp can be increasingly influenced by diel variations of abundance of phytoplankton cells (Oubelkheir et al., 2005; Oubelkheir and Scandra, 2008), even if with a marginal impact on total variability (Table 3). In contrast to cp, bbp diel cycle is not marked by a significant seasonal variability. This would confirm that phytoplankton makes a lower contribution to bbp than to cp, so their seasonal changes are poorly reflected in overall seasonal changes. bbp is influenced more by the presence of sub-micrometer particles such as detrital particles or heterotrophic bacteria (Morel and Ahn, 1991; Stramski and Kiefer, 1991; Stramski et al., 2004), that do not have a periodical diel cycle. Recently, Organelli et al. (2018) found that another main source of variability on bbp is due to particles with equivalent diameters between 1 and 10 µm giving thus new insight into the bbp coefficient and particles in seawater.

In summer, Chl-Fluo and bbp have an AC of 0.8 but bbp is largely in anti-phase with Chl-Fluo, as expected for this period of year of low productivity. Inversely, cp and Chl-Fluo diurnal signals have an AC value of approximately 1. During this season of absence of high abundance of phytoplankton (Kheireddine and Antoine, 2014; Bellacicco et al., 2016), cp can be due to the daily variations of coupled heterotrophic bacteria and particles pool (i.e. phytoplankton cells) which remain within the upper layer caused by the strong stratification of the water column. However, Chl-Fluo diel signal can be determined due to the quenching effect (Xing et al., 2017). In addition, at periods of 0.5 (i.e. 12 h), cp and bbp signals are in anti-phase with Chl-Fluo. Due to this limited productivity, cycles greater than 1 day are not the most impactfull of the intra-seasonal variability, while conversely the diurnal signal is well pronounced. Oubelkheir and Scandra (2008) argued that the diel cycle of cp, and the particles pool, is strictly influenced by changes in the properties of particles (e.g. size, refractive index, shape and internal structure) and also by external environmental and biological agents, as reported by Binder and Durand (2002). During daytime, phytoplankton cells fix external inorganic carbon into organic molecules determining an increase of their diameter and refractive index (Siegel et al., 1989; Stramski and Reynolds, 1993; Walsh et al., 1995), and as a consequence an increase of scattering and attenuation cross section. This was also confirmed by laboratory experiments on a few phytoplankton species (Stramski et al., 1995; Durand and Olson, 1998; Claustre et al. 2002; Poulin et al., 2018). During night-time, phytoplankton cells divide into smaller cells with lower intra-cellular carbon content, as a result of an uptake of water during division or of a loss due to respiration (Durand and Olson, 1998). Another element to consider on the cp diel variation is the relative dynamics of algal and non-algal stocks (i.e. heterotrophs, viruses and detritus) that could vary in relation to the seasonal and trophic regimes. Oubelkheir and Scandra (2008) showed that the cp is strictly related to heterotrophic particles abundance coupled with phytoplankton cells and associated detritus.

To summarize, through the specific three-month WA, more information about detection and power of these additional sources of variability can be obtained. The mid-term cycles (> 10 days) dominate intra-seasonal variability during the winter (period of mixing and bloom), while they are of limited impact in the case of summer which is the period of low biological production and absence of extreme meteorological events (Gernez et al., 2011, Kheireddine and Antoine, 2014) indicating where the diel cycle is the most recurrent and important source of signal.

5. Conclusions

In the last decades, the development of fixed observation sites, such as the BOUSSOLE buoy, as well as remote sensing advancement has helped to study the phenomenology of phytoplankton and optical properties. Several works have studied temporal variability of bio-optical properties in various oceanic regimes using both field and satellite data (Behrenfeld et al., 2009, Antoine et al., 2011; Gernez et al., 2011; Barnes and Antoine, 2014; Kheireddine and Antoine, 2014; Behrenfeld et al., 2016; Sammartino et al., 2015; Di Cicco et al., 2017). However, most of these phenological studies focus on the annual and seasonal cycles (i.e. long-term cycles), while there is limited literature on the mid- and short-term cycles, and their recurrence, which characterizes the temporal variability of bio-optical properties.

In this study, we have focused on bio-optical properties using, for the first time, a statistical a priori method, as the wavelet analysis (WA) is, on three-year high frequency observations. The main goals are to determine the intra-annual dominant temporal patterns of the bio-optical parameters, the changes of these cycles over time, the characteristics and recurring strength at those periods and to define the temporal relationship between the cycles of the bio-optical properties.

The WA applied here reveals a persistent annual cycle for Chl-Fluo which explains the largest amount of its variability. On the other hand, and unexpectedly, the 6-month cycle is the most important and dominant temporal pattern of the bbp, and cp time-series, with respect to Chl-Fluo, which accounts for half of the variability in respect to the annual cycle. Together with 6-month, the 4-month cycle is the third source of variability for all the parameters with different strengths. It has a particular recurrence and magnitude in the case of bbp, with respect to Chl-Fluo and cp and intra-seasonal variability is driven by mid- and short-term cycles. During the winter (season of mixing), the mid-term cycles (> 10 days) are the most important. Episodic bloom events can determine these cycles, as viewed in the case of bio-optical coefficients, and as also found by Winder and Cloern (2010). During summer, the diel cycle is the most important and the main source of variability, especially for cp and Chl-Fluo. Considering the entire time-series, at diel scale, the coherence between spectra of bio-optical coefficients diminishes in respect to annual and seasonal cycles although remaining high. Chl-Fluo - cp and bbp - cp periodicities have a strong temporal correlation in respect to Chl-Fluo-bbp. At diurnal scale, Chl-Fluo depends specifically on intra-cellular and physiological processes in relation to physical forcing, and on phytoplankton cells abundance. The cp at the same scale has its own temporal pattern: in summer, cp is driven mostly by heterotrophic bacteria coupled with low phytoplankton abundances, while in winter, cp is more associated with phytoplankton particles (Oubelkheir et al., 2005, Oubelkheir and Scandra, 2008). In the case of bbp, the correlation between the periodicities of Chl-Fluo is lower with respect to cp because the bbp is influenced more by small particles (Stramski et al., 2004; Kheireddine and Antoine, 2014). In such a context, the recent findings of Organelli et al. (2018) open challenges in understanding the complexity of marine particles structure as sources of variability of the open-ocean bbp signal that have to be addressed in the next future in order to better constraint the use of bbp observations for investigating the biological carbon pump and phytoplankton phenology studies.

This work thus highlights the need to develop in situ technologies as well as new satellite sensors at higher temporal resolutions (e.g. geostationary satellite) for biogeochemical/bio-optical measurements that have been widely recognized as a priority in the optical and oceanographic community. Indeed, high frequency observations could help to
study, both in space and time, these mid- and long-term cycles, poorly known, that currently are not taken into account in the ocean color algorithms despite dominating the bio-optical variability at reduced time-series length (i.e. within the season). Lastly, as it is demonstrated here, the importance of the use of WA as a powerful instrument for studying both long or short time-series of bio-optical parameters and their relationships in oceanography (Weinder and Cloern, 2010; Damarqu et al., 2012, Ampe et al., 2014; Corredor-Acosta et al., 2015; Carey et al., 2016, Sala et al., 2018).
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